Pre-collision evolution of the Piñón oceanic terrane of SW Ecuador: stratigraphy and geochemistry of the “Calentura Formation”
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Abstract. – The stratigraphic revision of the southern coastal Ecuadorian series makes possible the reconstruction of the pre-collision history of the Caribbean plateau accreted to the Ecuadorian margin. The Coniacian age of the oceanic basement (Piñón Fm) indicates that the latter is part of the Caribbean oceanic plate. It is overlain by the Calentura Fm, which comprises from base to top: (i) 20 to 200 m of lavas and volcanic breccias of arc affinity (Las Orquídeas Mb), (ii) siliceous, organic rich black limestones of (middle?) Coniacian age, (iii) red, radiolarian rich, calcareous cherts ascribed to the Santonian-early Campanian, and (iv) marls, greywackes and island arc tuffs of Mid Campanian age. The latter are overlain by volcaniclastic turbidites of Mid to Late Campanian age (Cayo Fm), coeval to the Campanian-Maastrichtian island arc series locate farther west (San Lorenzo Fm).

The Las Orquídeas magmatic unit is interpreted as resulting from the melting of the Caribbean plateau, rather than from an ephemeral subduction process. The transition from coniacian limestones to santonian red cherts would be related to the thermal subsidence of the Caribbean plateau. The uplift of the latter and the development of the San Lorenzo island arc in the Middle Campanian would be due to the collision of the Caribbean plateau with the Mexican margin. Early in the Late Maastrichtian, the collision of the Caribbean plateau with the Ecuadorian margin would have triggered the cessation of the San Lorenzo arc activity. In the Late Paleocene, the Caribbean plateau was split into two terranes: the western Piñón terrane, which collided with the eastern Guaranda terrane.

Evolution pré-accrétion de l’unité océanique Piñón du Sud-Ouest de l’Equateur :
Stratigraphie et géochimie de la “Formation Calentura”
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Résumé. – La révision stratigraphique des séries crétaceées de la côte sud-équatorienne permet de préciser les principales étapes de l’histoire pré-accrétion du plateau Caraïbe accrétée à la marge équatorienne. L’âge coniacien du substratum océanique (Fiôn Fm) montre qu’il appartient au plateau océanique Caraïbe. Il est surmonté par la Fm Calentura, constituée, de bas en haut, par (i) 20 à 200 m de laves et brèches volcaniques à signature d’arc (Mb Las Orquídeas), (ii) des calcaires siliceux riches en matière organique datés du Coniacien (moyen ?), (iii) des cherts rouges calcaires à radiolaires attribués au Santonian-Campanien inférieur, et (iv) des marnes, grauwackes et tufs à géochimie d’arc, d’âge campanien moyen. Ceux-ci sont surmontés par des turbidites volcano-clastiques datées du Campanien moyen et supérieur (Fm Cayo), équivalentes des laves de l’arc insulaire campano-maastrichtien situé plus à l’ouest (Fm San Lorenzo).

Le magmatisme du Mb Las Orquídeas est interprété comme issu, non d’une subduction éphémère, mais de la fusion du plateau caraïbe encore chaud. Le passage des calcaires coniaciens aux cherts santoniens serait lié à la subsidence thermique du plateau Caraïbe. La surcroissance du plateau Caraïbe et la naissance de l’arc insulaire San Lorenzo au Campanien moyen, pourraient être liées à la collision du plateau Caraïbe avec la marge du Mexique. La collision du plateau Caraïbe avec la marge équatorienne au début du Maastrichtien supérieur provoquerait la fin de l’activité de l’arc insulaire San Lorenzo. Au Paléocène supérieur, le plateau Caraïbe se scinde en deux, le terrain occidental (Piñón) se trouvant sous-charrié sous le terrain oriental (Guaranda).

INTRODUCTION

In contrast to the central Andes, the northern Andes (Ecuador, Colombia) include oceanic terranes accreted to the western part of the continental margin [Gansser, 1973; Goossens and Rose, 1973]. Geochemical studies demonstrated that these terranes are made of Cretaceous oceanic plateaus, often associated with Late Cretaceous to Paleogene island arcs [Kerr et al., 1996; Reynaud et al., 1999; Mamberti et al., 2003, 2004], accreted to the margin between Late Cretaceous and Paleogene times. The western Cordillera of central Ecuador comprises the western Macuchi terrane, and the eastern Pallatanga terrane, in turn subdivided into the Guaranda and San Juan terranes [e.g. Kerr et al., 2002; Mamberti et al., 2003, 2004; Jaillard et al., 2004] (fig. 1), whereas the coast of southern Ecuador is floored by the
Piñón Formation [Baldock, 1982]. Although most of the current debates focus on the number, age, and accretion history of these oceanic terranes [e.g. Jaillard et al., 2004; Kerr and Tarney, 2005; Luzieux et al., 2006 and references therein], little is known about the intra-oceanic, pre-accretion history of these units, and therefore, about the origin and early geodynamical evolution of these exotic terranes.

The aim of this work is to propose a reconstruction of the pre-accretion evolution of the Piñón oceanic plateau, which is well exposed in southwestern coastal Ecuador (Cordillera Chongón-Colonche, fig. 1 and 2). For this purpose, we tried to refine the stratigraphy and geodynamic significance of the sedimentary and magmatic bodies emplaced in the early Late Cretaceous.

**PREVIOUS WORKS**

The Piñón Formation, made mainly of basalts and shallow level basic intrusions, was defined as the magmatic basement of the whole southern coastal Ecuador [Baldock, 1982]. This unit was previously referred to as the Basic Igneous Complex, of Early to Middle Cretaceous age [Goossens and Rose, 1973; Goossens et al., 1977], whereas Olsson [1942] defined the Cayo Formation as the clastic series overlying the Piñón Formation. Thalmann [1946] studied the sediments overlying the Piñón Formation, and identified:

- a fine-grained, silicified lower part, as the Calentura Member of Cenomanian-Turonian age,
- a thick clastic unit named the Cayo Formation,
- an upper cherty, fine-grained unit, referred to as the Guayaquil Formation of Maastrichtian age.

The Calentura Formation has been ascribed successively to the Cenomanian-Turonian [Thalmann, 1946], Turonian or younger [Bristow, 1976], and late Turonian-Coniacian or younger [Marksteiner and Alemán, 1991].

The poorly dated Cayo Formation has been assigned to the Late Cretaceous [Thalmann, 1946], Maastrichtian to Paleocene [Sigal, 1969; Faucher and Savoyat, 1973] and Maastrichtian to Middle Paleocene [Marksteiner and Alemán, 1991].

Farther west (Manta area), Lebrat et al. [1987] defined a late Cretaceous island arc, the San Lorenzo Formation, dated as Middle Campanian to Early Maastrichtian [Lebrat et al., 1987; Romero, 1990; Ordoñez, 1996].

Synthesizing the former data, Benítez [1995] and Jaillard et al. [1995] considered the Piñón Formation as early Cretaceous and identified a thin volcanic unit (Las Orquídeas Member) interlayered between the Piñón and Calentura formations. Additionally, they proposed that the evolution of southern coastal Ecuador was marked by the successive development of an eastern island arc of Coniacian-Campanian age, represented by the Cayo Formation, and a western island arc of Campanian-Maastrichtian age, corresponding to the San Lorenzo Formation. Finally, they dated as Maastrichtian to early late Paleocene the Guayaquil Formation, as well as a strongly deformed coeval series of black cherts (Santa Elena Formation), and as latest Paleocene a coarse-grained, quartz-rich conglomeratic succession (Azúcar Group).

The tectonic accretion of the Piñón terrane to the Andean margin would have occurred in the Eocene [Feininger and Bristow, 1980], Paleocene [Daly, 1989], Late Paleocene [Jaillard et al., 1995; Benítez, 1995] or Late Campanian [Luzieux et al., 2006]. From geochemical studies, the Piñón Formation shows affinities with oceanic plateau [Reynaud et al., 1999; Lapierre et al., 2000; Pourtier, 2001; Luzieux et al., 2006], while the overlying magmatic or volcanioclastic successions (Las Orquídeas, Cayo and San Lorenzo Fms) exhibit island arc signatures [Lebrat et al., 1987; Benítez, 1995; Reynaud et al., 1999].

In the following, we shall call Calentura Formation the whole lithologic succession comprised between the Piñón Formation and the lowermost coarse-grained volcanioclastic beds of the Cayo Formation (fig. 3). The studied interval encompasses the top of the Piñón Formation, the Calentura Formation and the base of the Cayo Formation. We shall use the time scale proposed by Gradstein et al. [2004].

**NEW STRATIGRAPHIC DATA**

**Piñón Formation**

The Piñón Formation chiefly consists of olivine-free basalts and dolerites. Basalts are either massive, or pillowled, and...
shallow level intrusions include microgabbros and ferrogabbros [Reynaud et al., 1999; Pourtier, 2001].

Charts comprised between pillows, were collected in the upper part of the unit, near the base of the Guaraguau section. They yielded the planktic foraminifera Hedbergella holmdelensis (Coniacian-Maastrichtian), and the radiolarian cherts. It is therefore comprised between the upper part of the unit, near the base of the Guaraguau section, along two sections exposed along the Derecha and Guaraguau rivers, respectively (fig. 1). Although the successions are highly variable laterally, the Calentura Formation in the CCC can be tentatively subdivided into three lithologic units (fig. 3).

**Lower unit: Volcanic breccias and limestones (Las Orquídeas Member)**

This 30 to 150 m thick unit is characterized by explosive, intermediate to acidic volcanic products (58-69% SiO₂). The contact with the Piñón Formation is poorly exposed. The Las Orquídeas Member includes andesitic to basaltic volcanic breccias containing porphyric andesitic fragments, scarce basaltic flows, locally brecciated (hyaloclastites), volcaniclastic debris flows, and subordinate tuffs. The breccias, pyroclastites and debris flows contain andesitic to basaltic blocks, as well as fragments of red radiolarian cherts, green tuffs and scarce limestones.

These layers are locally interbedded with thin layers of siliceous jasper, of probable hydrothermal origin, and of black siliceous limestones [Gómez and Minchala, 2003]. In the río Guaraguau section, the lower part of the section consists of laminated, organic-rich micritic limestones rich in planktic foraminifers, radiolarians and inoceramids, which grade upward into well bedded, cherty black limestones containing coarsening-upward volcaniclastic turbidites. They grade upward into radiolarian siliceous limestones, marls and tuffs (fig. 3).

The limestones contain an abundant microfauna of pelagic foraminifers and radiolarians, associated with locally abundant inoceramids. The association of the foraminifers Dicarinella cf. canaliculata, D. cf. hagni, D. imbricata, Helvetoglobotruncana praehelvetica, Whiteinella archeocretacea, and the radiolarian Phaseliforma spp., indicate a lower to mid Coniacian age [see also Velasco and Mendoza, 2003; Ordoñez, 2007]. The crushed, poorly preserved inoceramids may be either Inoceramus cf. anglicus WOODS, of Albian age, or more probably Cremnoceramus aff. deformis (MEEK) of Coniacian age (det. A. Dhoff). The lower unit of the Calentura Formation is therefore, assigned to the Middle Coniacian.

The abundance of radiolarians, planktic foraminifers and inoceramids unequivocally indicates a deep-marine, pelagic environment, probably above, and close to, the CCD, as suggested by the siliceous ribbons. The lack of any continent derived clastic input supports this interpretation, and suggests that the area was still far from any continental margin. Finally, the local occurrence of laminated, bituminous limestones indicates anoxic to dysoxic conditions.

**Middle unit: Radiolarian cherts, arenites and debris flows**

This unit rests conformably on the lower one. It is marked by the lack of volcanic activity, and the occurrence of red radiolarian cherts. It is therefore comprised between the uppermost andesitic volcanic breccia, and the lowermost tuff. According to the field sections, this unit comprises debris flows reworking andesites, tuffs and radiolarites, arkosic litharenites, litharenitic turbidites, and scarce limestones,
the sediments suggest a normally oxygenated environment. The presence of local clastic deposits and debris flows in the upper part suggests an uneven and moving topography, and therefore, the onset of an unstable tectonic regime.

**Upper unit: tuffs, marls and litharenites**

The base of this unit is marked by the development of thin beds of tuffs and thicker beds of welded tuffs containing abundant fragments of red cherts and red siliceous limestones. They are associated with feldspathic arenites, marls, shaly marls, commonly silicified, scarce black, siliceous micritic limestones, and coarser-grained debris flows and turbidites.

In the La Derecha and Guaraguau sections, this part of the Calentura Formation yielded a rich radiolarians assemblage including *Alievium gallowayi*, *Amphipyndax stocki*, *A. pseudoconulus*, *Cryptamphorea* sp., *Diacantho -
capsa aff. granti*, *Dictyomitra andersoni*, *D. multicostata*, *Eicryptidium carnegiense*?*, Homeoarchicyros* sp., *Parvicupsis cf. stathaensis*, *Phasei -
formia* sp., *Pseudoaulophacus* sp., *P. floresensis*, *P. lenticularis*, *P. pargueraensis*, *Spongo -
discus* sp. and *Stichomitrea communis*, associated with scarce nannofossils (*Coccolithus* sp., *C. pelagicus*, *Micula* sp., *Watznaueria barnesae*). The association of *Amphipyndax pseudoconulus* (early to middle Campanian), *Parvicupsis cf. stathaensis* (Campanian), *Pseudoaulophacus lenticularis* (middle Campanian), *P. pargueraensis* (Campanian) indicates unambiguously a Campanian age, more probably mid Campanian (fig. 3), thus confirming the conclusions of *Ve -
lasco and Mendoza* [2003] and *Ordoñez* [2007].

The disappearance of radiolarian red cherts and appearance of calcareous nannofossils can be interpreted as, either a deepening of the CCD, or an uplift of the oceanic plateau. The latter interpretation is supported by the increasing amount of volcaniclastic turbidites and coarse-grained debris flows, which suggests an increasing tectonic unstability. The arkosic nature of many sandy beds indicates that the source rocks are mainly volcanics. The local occurrence of sedimentary features, comparable to those of contourites (winnowed laminae, oblique stratifications, heavy minerals concentrations), which suggest the presence of moderate currents may indicate a shallowing evolution with respect to former deposits.

**Base of Cayo Formation**

The base of the Cayo Formation is arbitrarily defined at the base of the first erosive coarse-grained turbidite bed. It is made up of graded bedded turbiditic litharenites, interbedded with sandy marls and scarce, thinly bedded tuffs, which present as a whole, a coarsening- and thickening-upward evo -
lution.

The base of the Cayo Formation yielded benthic foraminifers (*Spiroplectammina* sp., *Bolivina cf. selmeensis*, *Marginalina* sp., *Epistominella* sp.), radiolarians (*Dictyomitra densicostata*, *Heliodiscus* sp., *Lithomespilus* sp., *Phasei -
forma* sp., *Spongiodiscus* sp.), nannofossils (*Coccolithus* sp., *Tetratholithus nitidus*, *Watznaueria barnesae*), and palyno -
morphs (*Baltisphaeridium cf. sparsum*, *Dinogymnium acu -
minatum*, *Tricolpites* sp., *Monoletes* sp., *Echinatisporites* sp.). The co-occurrence of *Dictyomitra densicostata* (late Coniacian-Campanian) and *Tetratholithus nitidus* (Campanian)
indicates a Campanian age (fig. 3). Taking into account the middle Campanian age of the underlying upper unit of the Calentura Formation, the base of the Cayo Formation must be considered as mid to late Campanian. Farther west, sedimentary beds associated with island arc suites ascribed to the Cayo or San Lorenzo formations have long been dated as Mid Campanian to Maastrichtian [Sigal, 1969; Savoyat, 1971; Romero, 1990; Ordoñez, 1996; Luzieux et al., 2006].

The appearance of erosional features at the base of volcanicogenic turbiditic flows points to the growth of nearby reliefs. The petrography of the turbidites (litharenites with no visible quartz) suggests that these beds mainly derive from the erosion of volcanic reliefs. The Cayo Formation is therefore interpreted as a back-arc deposit, sourced by an island arc, the activity of which was announced by the tectonic instability and isolated tuffs recorded in the upper part of the Calentura Formation.

Therefore, the previous Coniacian-Campanian age assigned to the Cayo Formation [Benítez, 1995; Jaillard et al., 1995] is refined. The volcaniclastic turbidites of the Cayo Formation are of Mid Campanian to younger age, and are therefore coeval with the lavas and conglomeratic debris flows of the San Lorenzo Formation of mid Campanian to mid Maastrichtian age, and can be interpreted as a distal, back-arc facies of the latter.

PETROGRAPHY AND GEOCHEMISTRY OF VOLCANIC AND VOLCANICLASTIC ROCKS

Analytical procedures

Whole rock chemistry

Major element, compatible and incompatible trace element analyses were determined by ICP – optical emission spectroscopy at the Université de Bretagne occidentale at Brest using the procedures of Cotten et al. [1995]. Trace elements, including the REE, were analyzed by ICP-MS at Grenoble University, after acid dissolution of 100 mg sample, using the procedures of Barrat et al. [1996]. Standards used for the analyses were JB2, WSE, JR1, Bir-1 and BHVO. Analytical errors are 1-3% for major-elements. Limits of detection for REE and Y = 0.03 ppm, U, Pb and Th = 0.5 ppm, Hf and Nb = 0.1 ppm, Ta = 0.03 ppm and Zr = 0.04 ppm, and less than 3% for trace elements. All the samples were pulverised in an agate mill (table I and II).

Mineral chemistry

Major and minor elements in minerals were analyzed with a Cameca SX50 microprobe at the University of Lausanne using a 15 kV acceleration voltage and different regulated beam currents, according to the mineral type (10 nA for plagioclase, and 20 nA for olivine, pyroxenes and oxides). Trace-elements measurements on minerals were made by laser-ablation ICP-MS mass spectrometry using a 193 nm Ar-F 193 nm Lambda Physics© Excimer laser coupled with a Perkin-Elmer 6100DRC ICPMS at the University of Lausanne. NIST610 and Bull. Soc. géol. Fr., 2008, no 5

| Table I. – Major element concentrations (% wt) of the Piñón Fm dolerites (GW 1, GW 5), Las Orquídeas Mb volcanic breccias (04GW-01, 04LD-01, LD 1, LD 3, GW 6) and Calentura tuffs (GW 34).

| Table II. – Trace element concentrations (ppm) of the Piñón Fm dolerites and basalts (GW 1, GW 5), Las Orquídeas Mb volcanic breccias (04GW-01, 04LD-01, LD 1, LD 3, GW 6) and Calentura tuffs (GW 34).

| Table III. – Trace element concentrations (ppm) of clinopyroxenes from the Piñón Fm (Gw5).
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Table I. – Concentration en éléments majeurs (% poids) des dolérites de la Fm Piñón (GW 1, GW 5), des laves et brèches volcaniques du Mb Las Orquídeas (04GW-01, 04LD-01, LD 1, LD 3, GW 6) et des tufs de la Fm Calentura (GW 34).

| Table II. – Concentration en éléments traces (ppm) des dolérites et laves de la Fm Piñón (GW 1, GW 5), des brèches volcaniques du Mb Las Orquídeas (04GW-01, 04LD-01, LD 1, LD 3, GW 6) et des tufs de la Fm Calentura (GW 34).

| Table III. – Concentration en éléments traces (ppm) de clinopyroxènes de la Fm Piñón (Gw5).

| Table I. – Major element concentrations (% wt) of the Piñón Fm dolerites (GW 1, GW 5), Las Orquídeas Mb volcanic breccias (04GW-01, 04LD-01, LD 1, LD 3, GW 6) and Calentura tuffs (GW 34).

| Table II. – Trace element concentrations (ppm) of the Piñón Fm dolerites and basalts (GW 1, GW 5), Las Orquídeas Mb volcanic breccias (04GW-01, 04LD-01, LD 1, LD 3, GW 6) and Calentura tuffs (GW 34).

| Table III. – Concentration en éléments traces (ppm) de clinopyroxènes de la Fm Piñón (Gw5).
612 glasses were used as external standards, Ca and Si as internal standards after microprobe measurements on the pit sites. Ablation pit size varied from 40 to 60 µm. BCR2 basaltic glass was regularly used as a monitor to check for reproducibility and accuracy of the system. Results were always within ± 10% of the certified values (table III to VI).

**Piñón Formation (Turonian? - Coniacian)**

The pillow basalts of the Piñón Formation exhibit intersertal textures formed of plagioclase laths embedded in subhedral clinopyroxene phenocrysts. Plagioclase and clinopyroxene crystals vary in size from 3 to 0.5 mm. The smallest crystals exhibit quenched textures. The interstitial groundmass includes late crystallizing acicular or cubic oxides (titanomagnetite, local quenched textures). The crystal sequence of these basalts (plagioclase → clinopyroxene → Fe-Ti oxides) is typical of tholeiites.

Clinopyroxene major element chemistry is that of an augite (Wo 38-45, En 37-43, Fs 14-24; fig. 4A). Plagioclase is generally altered (replaced by albite) but when preserved, has a bytownite-labradorite composition (An 70%; fig. 4B). Clinopyroxene chondrite-normalized [Sun and McDonough, 1989] (fig. 5) rare earth patterns (REE) are very similar and do not show differences between core and rim of a single crystal or between crystals. They are light (L)REE-depleted and show marked negative Eu anomalies. The REE abundances range between 1 to 5 times the chondritic abundances.

With respect to major and trace element chemistry, the Piñón lavas show features of oceanic plateau basalts [Kerr et al., 1996], i.e. MgO = 6-7%, TiO2 = 1.5%, chondrite-normalized (fig. 6) rare earth flat patterns, primitive mantle-normalized (fig. 6) multi-element plot characterized by positive Eu and Sr anomalies, and absence of Nb and Ta negative anomalies (La/Nb < 1).

**Volcanics of the lower Calentura Formation (Las Orquídeas Member, Coniacian)**

Lavas from the Las Orquídeas member were sampled in the matrix of the volcanic breccias. They are mostly porphyritic...
The chemical differences between the base and top of this member are interpreted as due to the decreasing abundance of pyroxene. Comparison with the tuffs of the upper part of the Calentura Formation leads to interpret the lavas of the Las Orquídeas Member as tholeiitic arc lavas, which present flow features. These lavas have low Mg content (1.3 < MgO% < 1.9), are moderately alkaline (5.18 < Na2O+K2O < 7.26), and show high Si contents (SiO2 > 60%), displaying features of andesites or dacites. The REE plot normalized to chondrites (fig. 6) exhibit Light REE enrichment, and a flat pattern for heavy REE (3.9 < (La/Yb)n < 5).

Lower Cayo Formation (mid to late Campanian)

Because the Cayo Formation only contains volcanioclastic sediments (greywackes), we only analyzed pyroxene considered representative of the source area. We included in this section the sample GW 29 from the Calentura Formation, since it does not differ significantly from those of the Cayo Formation. Pyroxenes of the Cayo Formation (samples GW 29, LD 11 and LD13; fig. 4A) are either augites (Wo 40-47, En 28-37, Fs 19-29), or diopsides (Wo 47-49, En 31-33, Fs 19-22). Their chondrite-normalized spider diagrams (fig. 7) show a depletion in L-REE, although this depletion is less marked for two pyroxenes of sample LD 11. The most depleted crystals are marked by a positive anomaly in Eu, while the others present a negative anomaly in the same element. The latter feature is interpreted as the result of the early crystallization of clinopyroxene (clinopyroxene → plagioclase → Fe-Ti oxides) and conversely, the early crystallization of oxides characterizes calc-alkaline series. Therefore, our results confirm the interpretations proposed by Benítez [1995], who assumed that the litharenitic turbidites of the Cayo Formation derive from an active and partially emergent island arc.

GEODYNAMIC INTERPRETATIONS AND DISCUSSIONS

In the following discussion, the tectonic unit formed by the Piñón Formation and its overlying stratigraphic succession will be referred to as the Piñón terrane. Our new data on the stratigraphy of the Calentura and Cayo formations show that on one hand, the Piñón terrane was part of the Caribbean Plateau, and on the other hand, the San Lorenzo and Cayo formations represent the proximal and distal facies, respectively of a single island arc of Mid Campanian – Mid Maastrichtian age. The pre-collision evolution of the Piñón terrane can be reconstructed as follows (fig. 8).

About 90 Ma ago (Turonian?-Coniacian), the Piñón Formation was created by a mantle plume in the paleo-Pacific ocean, as part of the Caribbean Colombian Oceanic Plateau (CCOP).

Between ≈ 90 and 88 Ma (Early Coniacian), i.e. immediately after the birth of the oceanic plateau, volcanic breccias and lavas (Las Orquídeas Mb) are emplaced on top of this part of the CCOP. Although these volcanic rocks exhibit a tholeiitic island arc signature, a geodynamic context involving an active arc in this region at that time is unlikely for three main reasons. First, the genesis of island arc lavas...
requires that a subducting oceanic lithosphere reached the depth of magma genesis (≈ 100 km). However, it is difficult to assume that about 150 km of oceanic lithosphere had been subducted, and that the generated magma ascents to the surface in such a short time (≈ 2 Ma). Second, magmatic island arc activity is usually abundant, yet the Las Orquídeas Member is only 20 to 200 m thick. Finally, assuming that oceanic subduction began immediately beneath the newly created oceanic plateau and produced the Las Orquídeas lavas, it seems quite unlikely that subduction then ceased after Early Coniacian (≈ 88 Ma) before to resume by Mid Campanian times (≈ 80 Ma) to produce the overlying Cayo and San Lorenzo formations (fig. 8).

Although speculative, we therefore propose that the Las Orquídeas Member was originated by partial melting of deep parts of the CCOP. In that interpretation, the magmatic source would be rich in ilmenite, and in amphiboles altered by hydrothermal process, as proposed by Haase et al. [2005] for arc-like lavas associated with mid-ocean ridges. Note that Allibon et al. [2005] also stressed the influence of the still hot CCOP in the contamination and Mg-enrichment of the Mid Campanian island arc lavas in Ecuador, thus supporting the input of the hot roots of oceanic plateaus in the genesis of subsequent magmatism. Furthermore, partial melting of the plateau crust has been proposed to explain tonalitic intrusions dated at 85-82 Ma in Aruba [White et al., 1999]. A similar origin could be invoked for the Pujilí granite in Ecuador, recently dated at 86 Ma [Spiking et al., 2005; Vallejo et al., 2006].

During the Middle to Late Coniacian (≈ 88 – 86 Ma), black, organic-rich siliceous limestones were deposited above the CCD, maybe during the anoxic oceanic event identified in the Late Coniacian-Early Santonian [Jenkyns et al., 1980; Arthur et al., 1988; Wagner, 2002]. The lack of any magmatic activity suggests that no subduction occurred at that time, and therefore, that the Piñón terrane belonged to the paleo-Pacific plate and migrated passively with it (fig. 8). During the Santonian-Early Campanian (≈ 86 – 80 Ma), deposition of red, radiolarian rich cherts indicates that this part of the CCOP subsided below the CCD. This change is tentatively interpreted as due to thermal subsidence related to plateau cooling.

The Middle Campanian – Early Maastrichtian time span (≈ 80 – 71 Ma) is marked first by the deposition of deep
marine marls and arenites, and incipient development of an island arc (tuffs), then giving way to the deposition of thick volcaniclastic turbidites (Cayo Fm) that reworked an active island arc, presently located farther west (San Lorenzo Fm). The disappearance of radiolarian rich calcareous cherts in the Middle Campanian suggests that the plateau surface was uplifted, as suggested by evidences of tectonic activity (detrital input, reworking of red radiolarian cherts) and the occurrence of subaerial volcanism. The onset of an island arc activity unambiguously indicates the creation of a subduction zone beneath the Piñón terrane. Taking into account the 60 – 90° clockwise rotation undergone by the Piñón terrane [Roperch et al., 1987; Luzieux et al., 2006] and the present-day west location of the San Lorenzo island arc to the west, the subduction zone was probably located at the southern tip of the COP. From then on, the Piñón terrane, and possibly the whole CCOP, were therefore individualized as an oceanic plate, independent from the paleo-Pacific plate.

From Mid Maastrichtian (∼68 Ma) to early Late Paleocene (Mid Thanetian; ∼58 Ma), the Piñón terrane received pelagic, radiolarian-rich, black siliceous cherts (Guayaquil Fm), without any continent deriving detrital input (fig. 8). However, an eastern part of the CCOP is proved to have been in contact with the Ecuadorian continental margin in the Late Maastrichtian (Guaranda terrane of the Western Cordillera of Ecuador) [Jaillard et al., 2004], whereas the Piñón terrane received unconformable detrital quartz as late as in the Late Paleocene (∼58 Ma). If the Piñón terrane actually was a part of the CCOP, this implies that the CCOP had been split into at least two independent tectonic units: (1) an eastern terrane accreted to the margin in the Late Maastrichtian (Guaranda terrane), and (2) a western one (Piñón terrane), which remained preserved from continent deriving clastic input, until it was accreted to the former in the Late Paleocene. In this interpretation, the Late Paleocene accretion would represent the collision and under-thrusting of the Piñón terrane beneath the Guaranda terrane that was already part of the Andean margin.

CONCLUSIONS

The study of the stratigraphic succession of the Piñón terrane made it possible to ascribe the Piñón terrane to the CCOP, to demonstrate the time-equivalence of the Cayo and San Lorenzo arc of southern Coastal Ecuador, and to
propose a reconstruction of its pre-collision and accretional evolution.

The pre-accretion evolution is marked by an early and ephemeral volcanic activity (early Coniacian), tentatively interpreted as the result of partial melting of the base of the CCOP. This is followed by oceanic sedimentation at depths close to the CCD (Santonian, early Campanian?), and then by the creation of a subduction zone beneath this part of the CCOP (Middle Campanian). This major event individualized the CCOP as an oceanic plate independent from the paleo-Pacific oceanic plate.

Although part of the CCOP is known to have been accreted to the Ecuadorian margin in the Late Maastrichtian (Guaranda terrane), the Piñón terrane still received oceanic deposits devoid from any continent derived clastic input until the early Late Paleocene. This suggests that the Piñón terrane was far away from the Guaranda terrane and/or was sheltered from continent derived clastics by a sedimentary trap. In the Late Paleocene, the Piñón terrane eventually was underthrust beneath the already accreted Guaranda part of the CCOP, thus splitting the Ecuadorian part of the CCOP into two terranes (Guaranda to the east, and Piñón to the west).
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