The Mid-Cenomanian Event in southeastern France: Evidence from palaeontological and clay mineralogical data

Fabienne Giraud a, *, Stéphane Reboulet b, Jean François Deconinck c, Mathieu Martinez c, André Carpentier b, Clément Bréziat c

a ISTerre, Université de Grenoble 1, UMR 5275 CNRS, 1381 rue de la piscine, F-38041 Grenoble, France
b UMR 5276 CNRS Laboratoire de Géologie de Lyon Terre Planètes Environnement, Université Lyon 1-ENS Lyon, Bâtiment Géode, 2 rue Raphaël Dubois, 69622 Villeurbanne cedex, France
c UMR 6282 CNRS, Université de Bourgogne, 6 boulevard Gabriel, 21000 Dijon, France

Article info
Article history:
Received 17 May 2013
Accepted in revised form 6 September 2013
Available online

Keywords:
Calcareous nannofossils
Ammonoids
Primary productivity
Climatic conditions
Middle Cenomanian
Vocontian Basin

Abstract
Reconstruction of main palaeoenvironmental conditions across the Mid-Cenomanian Event (MCE I) in the hemipelagic Tethyan section of Blieux (Southeast France, Vocontian Basin) is proposed. Quantitative analyses of calcareous nannofossil, ammonoid and clay mineral assemblages have been made and compared with respect to sea level changes and the carbon cycle perturbations. The nannofossil primary productivity, as recorded by nannofossil fluxes and relative abundances of meso-eutrophic taxa, is low just below and during the MCE Ia, then slightly increases in the interval including the MCE Ib. The clay assemblages mainly consist of illite/smectite mixed-layers with a smaller proportion of kaolinite. The percentage of kaolinite strongly decreases in the interval including the MCE Ia and slightly increases in the interval including the MCE Ib. The clay assemblages are mainly detrital in origin and reflect environmental changes including differential settling processes, climate, intensity of runoff and detrital sources. The ammonoid assemblages are characterised by a significant change during the MCE I: planispirals (mainly Schloenbachia) are dominant until the MCE Ia, whereas heteromorphs (mainly Sciponoceras) become dominant from the MCE Ib onwards. Strongly oligotrophic levels in sea surfaces are recorded during the MCE Ia and slightly increases in the interval including the MCE Ib. The clay assemblages are mainly detrital in origin and reflect environmental changes including differential settling processes, climate, intensity of runoff and detrital sources. The ammonoid assemblages are characterised by a significant change during the MCE I: planispirals (mainly Schloenbachia) are dominant until the MCE Ia, whereas heteromorphs (mainly Sciponoceras) become dominant from the MCE Ib onwards. Strongly oligotrophic levels in sea surfaces are recorded during the MCE Ia and related both to arid climatic conditions and major sea level fall (both third order and medium scale lowstand deposits). A decrease in bathymetry could partly explain the decrease in the relative abundance of Schloenbachia. The first occurrence of Sciponoceras took place during the MCE Ib; this second positive increase in δ13C is not associated with enhanced nannofossil primary productivity. No clear relations can be established between the occurrence of Sciponoceras and trophic resources.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The Mid-Cenomanian Event (MCE I), first described by Paul et al. (1994), is a carbon-isotope excursion (δ13C) characterised by two positive peaks (MCE Ia, MCE Ib; Mitchell et al., 1996). The MCE I is world-wide and corresponds to a major carbon perturbation recorded in ocean and atmosphere reservoirs as well as in marine carbonates (in England and northern France: Jenkyns et al., 1994; Paul et al., 1994; Mitchell et al., 1996; Jarvis et al., 2001, 2006; southeast France: Reboulet et al., 2013; Spain: Rodriguez-Lazaro et al., 1998; Stoll and Schrag, 2000; Italy: Stoll and Schrag, 2000; northern Germany: Mitchell et al., 1996; Wilmsen, 2007; Morocco: Gertsch et al., 2010; western North Atlantic: Ando et al., 2009), marine organic carbon (tropical Atlantic ocean: Friedrich et al., 2009; Morocco: Gertsch et al., 2010), and terrestrial organic matter (Japan: Uramoto et al., 2007). It is considered to be a prelude to the Oceanic Anoxic Event (OAE) 2, at the Cenomanian/Turonian boundary (Coccioni and Galeotti, 2003), but in contrast to OAE 2, the MCE I is not characterised by the occurrence of black shales (only recognised in the ODP Leg 207, tropical Atlantic Ocean, Demerara Rise; Friedrich et al., 2009; see also synthesis in Reboulet et al., 2013). The MCE Ia and MCE Ib are associated with rapid sea level changes, possibly of glacio-eustatic origin (Gale et al., 2002, 2008). The glaciation hypothesis has been advanced by Stoll and Schrag (2000) and Miller et al. (2003, 2005).

Several authors have suggested a cool climate mode in Western Europe during the MCE I, supported by two southward incursions of boreal nektonic and benthic taxa into European shelf seas which
occur at the onset of the first carbon-isotope excursion and within the $\delta^{13}C$ maximum of the second excursion, respectively (Paul et al., 1994; Gale, 1995; Wilmsen, 2003). On the basis of brachiopod oxygen-isotope data, Voigt et al. (2004) have demonstrated that a cooling event (2–3 °C) coincides with the MCE I on European shelves. However, recent stable isotope data from the tropical and western North Atlantic argue against a mid–Cenomanian glaciation event (Moriya et al., 2007; Ando et al., 2009). This event is also characterised by changes in planktonic, nektontic and benthic assemblages (Paul et al., 1994; Erbacher et al., 1996; Mitchell and Carr, 1998; Rodríguez-Lazaro et al., 1998; Coccioni and Galeotti, 2003; Wilmsen et al., 2005, 2007; Hardas et al., 2012; Friedrich et al., 2009), but the interpretations of these changes are contradictory. The benthic foraminiferal record suggests that the MCE I was associated in many settings with dysaerobia of lower-intermediate water masses (Basque Basin, Spain; Rodríguez-Lazaro et al., 1998), decreased ventilation on the sea floor (Umbria–Marche Basin, Italy, Coccioni and Galeotti, 2003) or stratification of the water column (Anglo–Paris Basin, Mitchell and Carr, 1998; tropical Atlantic ocean, Friedrich et al., 2009; Hardas et al., 2012). On the contrary, Paul et al. (1994) did not observe any change in the benthic foraminiferal assemblage for the Anglo–Paris Basin. The MCE I was interpreted by some authors as an event of increased marine primary productivity (Umbria–Marche Basin: Erbacher and Thurow, 1997; Coccioni and Galeotti, 2003; Stoll and Schrag, 2001; northern Germany: Wilmsen, 2003). By contrast, in the tropical Atlantic Ocean, lower nutrient concentrations of surface waters affect nannofossil communities and tend to favour oligotrophic surface-dwellers or deep-dwellers (Hardas et al., 2012). In the Basque Basin, the composition of planktonic foraminifera did not change during the MCE I (Rodríguez-Lazaro et al., 1998).

The carbon isotope record suggests that the MCE I was a global event; but the contradictory interpretations in terms of biological changes from different settings suggest that local changes could prevail over global changes. The different sections studied for biological changes, and in particular the pelagic sections are generally very condensed with uniform lithologies. In addition, the biostratigraphic and chemostratigraphic frameworks realised on these sections are not precise enough to allow good correlations with North-West Europe. This could partly explain different results in terms of biological changes observed between the studied settings.

The aim of the present work is to depict the main palaeoenvironmental conditions prevailing across the MCE I in the hemipelagic Tethyan section of Blieux (southeast France, Vocontian Basin, Fig. 1), for which the MCE I has been identified by an integrated stratigraphy and compared precisely with boreal basins (Reboulet et al., 2013). Variations in calcareous nannofossil, ammonoid and clay mineral assemblages were analysed and compared with respect to the carbon cycle perturbation and sea level changes. The results are integrated in a regional-global context.

The Blieux section was previously studied for the palaeoenvironmental characterisation of the Oceanic Anoxic Event 1d (Breistroffer interval; Giraud et al., 2003; Reboulet et al., 2005).

2. Geological setting and stratigraphy

A detailed account of the Vocontian Basin was made in Reboulet et al. (2013; and references therein). In this area, during the Albian, the tectonic regime changed from extensional to compressional (Rubino, 1989; Kandel, 1992). An uplift of all the basin margins occurred and was responsible for the emergence of the Durance Isthmus, south of the Provence platform (Masse and Philip, 1976). Thus, a strong differential subsidence took place between the Provence carbonate shelf to the south, with carbonate (rudist-bearing, Philip, 1978) and siliciclastic facies, and the Vocontian Basin to the north, with limestone–marl alternations, corresponding to hemipelagic to pelagic sediments (Conard, 1983). The Blieux section, located in the southeastern part of the Vocontian Basin, is in a key position to record palaeoenvironmental changes between proximal areas (platform environments) and the pelagic realm (open marine water column).

An integrated stratigraphy of the Cenomanian using biosequence- and chemo-stratigraphy was realised in the Blieux
section (Reboulet et al., 2013). The Lower and the Middle Cenomanian have been characterised with the identification of the uppermost part of the Mantellisceras mantelli, the whole of the Mantellisceras dixoni and the lower part of the Acanthoceras rhoto- magense ammonite zones. The boundary between these two sub-stages has been placed at the appearance of the first Cunningtoniceras (C. cunningtoni, layer 528; Fig. 2), and lies within the nannofossil Subzone UC2C of Burnett et al. (1998; Fig. 2). The section is represented by alternating thick marly interbeds and thin silty or sandy limestone beds, including locally silty levels interpreted as turbidites, and slumps. These limestone—marl alternations are organised in 5 calcareous bundles separated by large marly intervals. The sequence-stratigraphic analysis allowed two positive excursions of calcium carbonate content, corresponding to a peak interval from the base of the calcareous bundle 3 to the top of bundle 5, including the MCE I (Fig. 2).

3. Material and methods

3.1. Calcimetry and calcareous nannofossils

Calcium carbonate content was determined using the carbonate bomb technique, which measures CO₂ emission during a hydrochloric acid attack. The CaCO₃% was calculated using equations presented in Peybernes et al. (2013).

Samples for nannofossil studies were prepared using the random settling technique of Geisen et al. (1999), a method adapted from Beaufort (1991) allowing the calculation of absolute abundances. Nannofossils were observed under a light polarising microscope, at 1560× magnification. The taxonomic frameworks of Perch-Nielsen (1985) and Burnett et al. (1998) are followed. The nannofossil preservation was evaluated following the classes defined by Roth (1983). For the quantification of nannofossils, 300 specimens were counted in a variable number of fields of view on the smear slide in the richest samples. In the poorest samples, specimens were counted following one longitudinal transverse section (Reboulet et al., 2013; Fig. 2). The nannofossil fluxes were calculated, using estimation of duration of the succession based on cyclostratigraphy calibration made at Blieux (Reboulet et al., 2013). The sedimentation rates were calculated for the intervals corresponding to the medium-scale sequences of 400 ky eccentricity cycles (Reboulet et al., 2013; Fig. 2). The nannofossil fluxes are expressed as F (number of nannofossils per meter square and per year) = AA × r × sed. rate” with AA = nannofossil absolute abundance; r = volume mass of calcite (2.7 g cm⁻³) and sed. rate = sedimentation rate.

The composition of nannofossil assemblages is also described by the species richness, the Shannon Diversity Index and evenness which are defined by Shannon and Weaver (1949). The relationships between the different palaeoenvironmental proxies (CaCO₃%, nannofossil absolute abundance and flux, species richness, diversity, evenness and relative abundances of some selected nannofossil taxa) were investigated by linear correlation (Correlation coefficient of Pearson). The data set was composed of 40 samples. We used all species or group of species representing more than 1% of the total assemblage. Since some species or groups present low relative abundance compared to the others, the percentages were normalised following an angular transformation or arcsine transformation (Sokal and Rohlif, 1995). The angular transformation allows the normalisation of the lowest and the highest percentages (<5% or >95%). The correlation coefficient of Pearson can be used only with variables showing a Gaussian distribution. To answer to this criterion, the nannofossil absolute abundance and flux were normalised, in calculating the logarithm10 of each absolute abundance or flux value. For each correlation coefficient, a statistical test for significance was computed.

3.2. Ammonoids

The sampling of macrofaunal assemblages was carried out at 37 layers, from layers 494 to 648 of the Blieux section (Fig. 2). The marly intervals were not sampled as the outcrop conditions are less favourable. In total, 301 ammonoids were found in the selected interval and 285 specimens have been taxonomically identified. Dissolution of shells is the norm and specimens are preserved as internal calcareous moulds. Their fragmentation is relatively frequent and compaction is important, particularly for the phragmocone. Consequently, the identification of ammonoids at specific level is often difficult or impossible and data are here presented at the generic level (specimens identified with doubt are indicated by a question mark). This also avoids problems related to the systematics. Cecca (1998) emphasised that it would be preferable to work at the generic level for palaeoecological studies. The Cenomanian ammonoid fauna of the studied interval consists of fourteen genera grouped into eleven families and seven super-families, using the classification proposed by Wright et al. (1996) and emended by Klein et al. (2009) for Phylloceratoidea (see Appendix in Reboulet et al., 2013). The palaeo-ontological study of ammonoids was started by Carpentier (2007) and was reviewed and completed by Reboulet et al., (2013). Genera are here assigned to six morphologic units using the terminology proposed in the glossary of the “Ammonoid Paleobiology” (Landman et al., 1996): involute/evolute planispirals (“normal” coiling) including Mantellisceras, Acanthoceras, Cunningtoniceras, Schloenbachia, Hyphoplitites, Puzosis (Puzosis), Hyporbulites and Tetragonites; scaphitocones (Scaphites); tortoises with Mesoturritilites and Turritilites; orthocones (Sciponoceras); ancylocones (Anisoceras) and hamitocomes (Hamites). As the number of specimens per layer is generally low for most of the taxa (8 on average per layer), pie-charts showing the average compositions of ammonoid assemblages are presented for the three intervals (494–516; 518a–548; 578–648) of sampling that nearly correspond to bundles 3–5.

3.3. Clay mineral assemblages

Clay minerals were routinely identified by X-ray diffraction (XRD) on oriented mounts of non-calcareous clay-sized particles (<2 μm), following the analytical procedure of Moore and Reynolds (1997). After removing carbonate using 0.2 N HCl, deflocculation of clays was done by successive washing with distilled water. Particles finer than 2 μm were concentrated by centrifugation. Diffractionograms were obtained using a Bruker D4 Endevor diffractometer with CuKα radiations, LynxEye detector and Ni filter, under 40 kV voltage and 25 mA intensity. Three XRD runs were performed, respectively after air-drying, ethylene–glycol solvation, and heating at 490 °C during 2 h. The goniometer performed a scan from 2.5° to 28.5° 2θ for each run. Identification and semi-quantitative estimates of clay minerals were made according to the position
Fig. 2. Stratigraphic changes in calcium carbonate content, carbon-isotope calcareous nannofossil total absolute abundance and flux, species richness, Shannon Index and evenness for the Blieux section. Position of samples is indicated by a small star. Abbreviations: Seq: sequence; SB: sequence boundary; TS: transgressive surface.
and the area of the (001) basal reflections on the three XRD (Moore and Reynolds, 1997).

4. Results

4.1. Calcareity and calcareous nannofossils

The range of the carbonate content varies from 24.7 to 72.6%, increasing toward the top of the section (Fig. 2).

All nannofossil taxa observed in the studied section are reported in Appendix A. Supplementary data of Reboulet et al. (2013; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cretes.2012.06.006.). Preservation of nannofossils is poor to well-preserved (Figs. 2 and 3). Only one sample (543b) presents strongly etched and overgrown nannofossils (E3 and O3).

The nannofossil absolute abundances present highest values in the marly interval between bundles 3 and 4, then decrease dramatically within bundle 4 and show a slight increase in the top part of the succession (Fig. 2). Nannofossil fluxes, species richness, diversity and evenness follow the same trends as absolute abundances (Fig. 2). They are generally lower in marly limestones with respect to argillaceous marls. The coccoliths significantly contribute to the nannofossil assemblage (more than 85%) in decreasing order of abundance: Watznaueria barnesiae, Biscutum ellipticum, Prediscosphaera spp. (P. cretacea, P. ponticula), Tranolithus orionatus, Rhagodiscus achlyostaurion, Effilithus turrisieffelli, small Zeugrhabdotus (Z. erectus + Zeugrhabdotus with major axis smaller than 5 μm) and Cretarhabdus spp. (including all species of the genera Cretarhabdus and Retecapsa; Roth and Krumbach, 1986), Zeugrhabdotus birector, Watznaueria communis and Zeugrhabdotus noeliae are frequent. Holcococcoliths are also present.

The absolute abundance of Watznaueria barnesiae decreases within bundle 4, and strongly increases in the upper part of the succession with highest values recorded (Fig. 3). W. barnesiae is dominant in the nannofossil assemblages and percentages fluctuate from 19 to 57.6% with a mean value of 40.2% (Fig. 3). W. barnesiae generally presents opposite patterns for the absolute and relative abundances. For instance, its percentage increases within bundle 4 whereas its absolute abundance decreases. The opposite pattern between absolute and relative abundances can be explained by the closed-sum effect. The increase in the percentage of W. barnesiae within bundle 4 is linked to the decrease in the percentages of the other taxa.

The absolute abundances of Biscutum ellipticum and of small Zeugrhabdotus increase in the lower part of the section above bundle 3, then decrease to reach the lowest values within bundle 4 and stay low in the upper part of the succession (Fig. 3). Relative abundances vary from 0.6 to 16% and from 0 to 7%, respectively, with highest values recorded within the marly interval located between bundles 3 and 4. They display an opposite trend with respect to the percentages of W. barnesiae (Fig. 3).

The absolute abundances of Prediscosphaera spp., Effilithus turrisieffelli and Cretarhabdus spp. follow the same trend as the total nannofossil absolute abundances (Fig. 3). Relative abundances of these three taxa fluctuate from 0 to 16.3%, 0 to 11%, 0 to 5.2%, respectively, with higher percentages generally observed within bundles with respect to marly intervals (Fig. 3).

Lower absolute abundances of Tranolithus orionatus are recorded within bundles 3 and 4 with respect to the rest of the succession, and highest values in the marly interval located between bundles 3 and 4 (Fig. 3). Percentages fluctuate from 0 to 14.6% and the highest values are recorded just below and at the base of bundle 4 (Fig. 3).

The absolute abundance of Rhagodiscus achlyostaurion is characterised by three peaks: one in the marly interval located in the lower part of the section, a second at the base of bundle 4, and a third with highest values at the top of the succession (Fig. 3). Relative abundances are comprised between 0 and 12% with high values recorded within bundles and maxima at the base of bundle 3 (Fig. 3).

The absolute abundances of Zeugrhabdotus birector present high values at the base of bundle 3, just above it, and then just below and at the base of bundle 5 (Fig. 3). Relative abundances range from 0 to 5.9% with a maximum at the base of the section, and do not present strong fluctuations (Fig. 3).

Watznaueria communis is not present in the marly interval located between bundles 3 and 4, and presents only one peak in absolute abundance just below bundle 4 (Fig. 3). Percentages fluctuate from 0 to 3.2% and reach a maximum in the upper part of bundle 3 and within bundle 4 (Fig. 3).

The absolute abundances of Zeugrhabdotus noeliae are generally higher in the marly interval between bundles 3 and 4 with respect to the rest of the succession whereas the relative abundances, comprising between 0 and 4.3%, present one peak at the base of bundle 3, then decrease and show another peak just above bundle 4 (Fig. 3).

The correlation indices between distinctive variables are shown in Table 1. Several variables show highly significant (N99.9%) negative or positive pairings.

4.2. Ammonoids

The Lower–Middle Cenomanian transition (Mantelliceras divix Zone pro parte) and the lowermost part of the Middle Cenomanian (Acanthoceras rhotomagense Zone pro parte) of the Blieux section are characterised by a change in the ammonoid fauna (Fig. 4). The ammonoid assemblages are mainly composed of planispirals in bundles 3 (91.8%) and 4 (88.1%) and of heteromorphs in bundle 5 (52.5%). Among planispirals, Schloenbachia (Hoplitoidea, Schloenbachiidae) is the dominant genus in bundles 3 (84.9%) and 4 (71.2%) and it declines in bundle 5 (35%). Hyphoplites (Hoplitoidea, Hypholitidae) are rare in bundle 3 (2.1 %) and absent in bundles 4 and 5. The relative abundance of Mantelliceras (Acanthoceratoidea, Acanthoceratidae) is low in bundle 3 (2.7%). This genus disappears in bundle 4 where the (super)family is represented by Cunningtoniceridae (15.3%) and Acanthoceridae (1.7%). Only Acanthoceras is present in bundle 5 (10%). From bundles 3 to 5, other planispirals, Puzosia (Desmoceratoidea), Hyporbulites (Phylloceratoidea) and Tetragonites (Tetragonitoidea) are rare or absent (from 0% to 2.5%). The percentage of heteromorphs is low in bundles 3 (8.2%) and 4 (11.9%) where this group is mainly represented by Scaphites (Scaphitoidea, Scaphitidae) and Turrilites (Turrilitoidea, Turrilitidae). A clear change is observed in bundle 5 which Sciponoceras (Turrilitoidea, Baculitidae) is the dominant genus (43.8%). From bundles 3 to 5, other heteromorphs (Hamites and Anisoceras) are rare (from 0% to 3.8%).

4.3. Clay mineral assemblages

The clay assemblages mainly consist of illite/mixed layers (1/5) R0 (i.e., containing more than 50% of smectite layers (Srodo, 1984, Moore and Reynolds, 1997)). Their proportion varies from 72 to 97% for an average of 84%. These minerals are associated with lower proportions of illite (3–15%), kaolinite (0–15%) and traces of chlorite (Fig. 5). From the base to the top of the section, the proportions of I/S increase while those of kaolinite decrease. The relative proportions of I/S mixed-layers, illite and kaolinite show a clear relationship with the lithology and the sequential organisation. Marly intervals are enriched with kaolinite, while calcareous bundles correspond to kaolinite-depleted intervals. So, there is a
Fig. 3. Absolute and relative abundances of selected calcareous nannofossil taxa for the Blieux section.
The very poorly-preserved sample 543b, has been excluded from this statistical analysis. The Correlation coefficient of Pearson is in bold where it is statistically significant (< 0.05).

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>genus</td>
<td>abs. (%)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>abundance</td>
<td>relative</td>
<td>absolute</td>
<td>relative</td>
<td>absolute</td>
<td>relative</td>
<td>absolute</td>
<td>absolute</td>
<td>absolute</td>
<td>absolute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nannofossil</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. barnesiae</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. turriseiffellii</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. achlyostaurion</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeugrhabdotus erectus</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cribrosphaerella erhenbergerii</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liocysts</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prediscosphaera</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. orionatus</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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5. Interpretation

#### 5.1. Calcareous nannofossils

##### 5.1.1. Nannofossil preservation

The preservation state can control nannofossil absolute abundance, species richness and diversity, and the relative abundance of some species. With the exclusion of one dissolved sample (543b), the mean nannofossil absolute abundance varies from 1.9 E08 sp./g of rock in poorly-preserved samples to 3.4 E08 sp./g of rock in well-preserved samples. Well-preserved samples contain an average of 31 species, while poorly-preserved samples show a slightly lower mean richness of 25.5. The mean Shannon index value varies from 3.05 in poorly-preserved samples to 3.45 in well-preserved samples and does not present strong fluctuations. These values reflect assemblages with moderate diversity (Frontier and Pichod-Viale, 1998).

The dissolution susceptibility of main taxa encountered in this study and of other coccoliths characterised by delicate structures are reported in Table 2. *Watznaueria barnesiae* is considered by the different authors as the most resistant Mesozoic placolith to dissolution, while *Biscutum ellipticum*, *Zeugrhabdotus erectus* (including in small *Zeugrhabdotus* group), *Discorhabdus*, *Cre- tarhabdus surirellus*, *Cribrorhabdina erhenbergeri*, *Helicolithus tubeculatus*, *Prediscosphaera* and *Tranolithus orionatus* are dissolution-susceptible, and a diagenetic overprint may imply an increase in the relative abundance of *W. barnesiae* and a decrease in those of fragile taxa.

For the Blieux section, the relative abundance of *W. barnesiae* displays negative correlation with species richness (*r* = −0.515), Shannon Index (*r* = −0.922), evenness (*r* = −0.896), nannofossil absolute and flux (*r* = −0.557 and *r* = −0.701), and the relative abundance of the delicate species *B. ellipticum* and small *Zeugrhabdotus* (*r* = −0.775 and *r* = −0.666). However, the correlations with species richness and nannofossil absolute abundance are statistically not significant (Table 1). The fluctuations of the mean nannofossil absolute abundance, species richness and relative abundances of *W. barnesiae* and dissolution-susceptible taxa have been calculated with respect to the three recognised classes of preservation (Fig. 6). Higher mean absolute abundance, species richness and percentages of delicate taxa and lower mean percentage of *W. barnesiae* are recorded in well-preserved samples (class E1–O1) with respect to the other classes. However, a significant difference is only observed for the mean relative abundance of delicate taxa between poorly- and well-preserved samples. This suggests that the relative abundance of delicate taxa is slightly affected by diagenesis. One of the most remarkable changes in the nannofossil assemblage composition is the overall decrease of the dissolution-susceptible *B. ellipticum* and small *Zeugrhabdotus* across the MCE I. This decline could be interpreted as a diagenetic signal. However, this decline is recorded both in poorly- and well-preserved samples. In addition, through bundle 4 which includes the MCE la, both the total nannofossil absolute abundance and the abundance of different taxa decrease, whatever the preservation state. In bundle 5 characterised by poorly-preserved samples, the absolute abundances of the different taxa increase. This indicates that the decrease in nannofossils recorded through bundle 4 is a primary rather a diagenetic signal.
The dominance of Watznaueria for this time interval seems to be not only the consequence of selective diagenesis but can reflect a primary signal. In the Blieux section, the nannofossil assemblages are generally dominated by W. barnesiae. Except in the Middle Cenomanian of the ODP site 1260 (Hardas et al., 2012), W. barnesiae is the most abundant or dominant species in poorly- to well-preserved Cenomanian assemblages at different latitudinal and palaeogeographic settings (shallow marine sections of Morocco, Gertsch et al., 2010 and of the southern Carnarvon Platform in western Australia, Howe et al., 2000; mid-shelf area of southern England, Linnert et al., 2010 and of the southern Carnarvon Platform in western Australia, Howe et al., 2000; hemipelagic sections from the Carpathians, Melinte-Dobrinescu and Bojar, 2008; Vocontian Basin in SE France, Gale et al., 1996; Fernando et al., 2010, and pelagic settings, Dolomites in northern Italy, Luciani and Cobianchi, 1999; Indian ocean, Lees, 2002; Cohan Spur, North Atlantic, Linnert et al., 2011a; Demerara Rise, Equatorial Atlantic, Early and Late Cenomanian, Hardas and Mutterlose, 2007).

Fig. 4. Stratigraphic distribution of ammonoids at the generic level from Layers 494 to 648 of the Blieux section. The average composition of assemblages for three intervals of sampling (494–516; 518a–548; 578–648), that nearly correspond to bundles 3–5, are presented by pie-charts; “n” indicates the number of identified specimens for each interval.

5.1.2. Nannofossil primary productivity

Variations in nannofossil primary productivity can be estimated with two proxies: the nannofossil flux and the relative abundance of meso-eutrophic nannofossil taxa (Biscutum ellipticum and small Zeugrhabdotus; Peybernes et al., 2013). In the Blieux section, the nannofossil flux displays a positive correlation with the relative abundance of both B. ellipticum and small Zeugrhabdotus (Table 1). These taxa are interpreted by different authors as eutrophic or meso-eutrophic taxa (Roth and Bowdler, 1981; Erba et al., 1992; Mutterlose, 1992; Watkins, 1989; Giraud et al., 2003). In the Blieux section, their relative abundance presents positive correlations with species richness, Shannon Index and evenness (Table 1), suggesting that there are more indicative of meso-eutrophic rather than real eutrophic conditions.

In the interval corresponding to bundle 3 which represents part of a 3rd order highstand system deposits (HSD), and a 400 ky lowstand system deposits (LSD), the nannofossil productivity is low. Nannofossil assemblages here are characterised by higher
relative abundances of taxa indicative of oligotrophic conditions such as Cretarhabdus spp., Rhagodiscus achiyestaurion (Eshet and Almogi-Labin, 1996; Mutterlose, 1996; Mutterlose and Kessels, 2000; Eleson and Bralower, 2005) and taxa without well-defined palaeoecological affinities such as Zeugrhabdotus noeliae and Z. biczlescicentius, Prediscosphaera spp. and Eiffelithus turrisellii are also common in this interval. Very different palaeoecological affinities (from oligotrophic to eutrophic conditions) have been proposed for these two taxa, (Roth and Krumbach, 1986; Crux, 1991; Erba et al., 1995; Mutterlose and Kessels, 2000; Eleson and
Dissolution susceptibility of selected nannofossil taxa encountered in this study. 1 — Hill (1975); 2 — Thierstein (1980); 3 — Roth and Bowdler (1981); 4 — Roth and Krumback (1986); 5 — Roth (1981).

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxa</th>
<th>Susceptibility to dissolution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biscutum constans (=B. ellipticum)</td>
<td>dissolution-susceptible form1,2,3,4, moderately dissolution-susceptible form5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cretarhabdus crenulatus</td>
<td>moderately dissolution-susceptible form2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cretarhabdus surirellus</td>
<td>dissolution-susceptible form2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cretarhabdus (shields)</td>
<td>solution-resistant2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cretarhabdus spp.</td>
<td>solution-resistant2,3,4,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eiffelithus turrisseiffelli</td>
<td>dissolution-susceptible1,2,3,4,5, moderately dissolution-susceptible form1,2,3,4,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discorhabdus rotatorius</td>
<td>dissolution-susceptible2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helicolithus trabelcatus</td>
<td>dissolution-susceptible3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prediscosphaera cretacea</td>
<td>moderately dissolution-susceptible form2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prediscosphaera spinosa</td>
<td>dissolution-susceptible3,4,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhagodiscus asper</td>
<td>moderately dissolution-susceptible form4,5, moderately dissolution-resistant4,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tranolithus orionatus</td>
<td>dissolution-susceptible3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeugrhafodus erectus</td>
<td>dissolution-susceptible form4,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watznaueria barnesiae</td>
<td>most resistant placolith to dissolution1,2,3,4,5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*B. ellipticum is considered as a morphotype of B. constans (Bornemann and Mutterlose, 2006).*

Fig. 6. Mean nannofossil absolute abundance (specimens/gram of rock), mean species richness, mean relative abundance of Watznaueria barnesiae and mean relative abundance of susceptible taxa for different classes of preservation for the Blieux section. In order to estimate the significance of the observed differences between the various classes of preservation, a Bonferroni/Dunn test is applied. It allows comparison of the calculated means for datasets with different sizes (here, the highly variable number of samples from one state of preservation to each other). Statistically significant differences were observed at p (probability) < 0.0167. Thirty-nine samples are considered in this analysis. Abbreviations: E1-O1: good preservation; E2-O2: moderate preservation; E3-O2 or E3-O3: poor preservation; S: significant.

Bralower, 2005; Hardas and Mutterlose, 2007). The relative abundance of Prediscosphaera spp. displays low positive correlations with species richness, Shannon Index and nannofossil flux (Table 1) suggesting that it could be interpreted as low mesotrophic. The relative abundance of E. turrisseiffelli shows negative correlation with the relative abundance of both R. achlyostaurion and Z. noeliae and positive correlation with the relative abundance of Watznaueria communis (Table 1) but it is difficult to propose palaeoecological affinities for this species. In the marly interval located between bundles 3 and 4, the nannofossil productivity increased and reached a maximum as is attested by the highest values recorded both in nannofossil fluxes and in the relative abundances of meso-eutrophic taxa. These highest values are associated with higher nannofossil species richness and diversity, with respect to the preceeding interval, indicative of optimal conditions for the development of nannoplankton. Thus, sea-surface nutrient conditions were meso-eutrophic rather than eutrophic. This interval represents part of a 3rd order HSD, records 400 ky trangressive system deposits (TSD), maximum flooding zone (MFZ) and the lower part of HSD, and thus, certainly corresponds to the highest sea level conditions for this succession during the Lower–Middle Cenomanian interval. This led to the development of a large photic zone where nannofossils could occupy different ecological niches. The most remarkable change in the nannofossil record is the...
dramatic decrease in productivity and in the assemblage composition (strong decrease in species richness and diversity) recorded below and within bundle 4, including the MCE 1a. The absolute abundances of the main taxa generally decrease during this interval except peaks in absolute abundances of *R. achlysostaunion* and *Z. noeliae*. Strong oligotrophic conditions prevail just below the MCE 1a. These stressful conditions for nannofossils are associated with the maximum regressive conditions recorded in this succession (end of both 3rd order HSD and 400 ky HSD to both 3rd order LSD and 400 ky LSD). Increase in the relative abundance of *T. orionatus* (Roth, 1981; Roth, 1986; Linnert and Mutterlose, 2008) interpreted as a shelf surface waters and/or high-latitude species, can indicate that cooler climatic conditions were associated with the regression. The nannofossil productivity stayed low within the MCE 1a. The recovery of the nannofossil productivity occurred between MCE 1a and Ib, in a 3rd order LSD but during a 400 ky TSD. Low values in absolute and relative abundances of *B. ellipticum* and small Zeugrhabdus and increase in abundances of other main taxa suggest that sea-surface nutrient conditions were meso-oligotrophic rather than really mesotrophic.

In conclusion, in the Blieux section, the MCE I is not associated with a high primary productivity by calcareous nannofossils.

### 5.2. Ammonoids

The main event in the Cenomanian ammonoid fauna of the Blieux section occurs at the transition between bundles 4 and 5; it is characterised by the decrease of the relative abundance of planispirals (mainly *Schloenbachia*) and the dominance of heteromorphs (mainly *Sciponoceras*, Fig. 4). Thus, the discussion will focus on these genera. The presence versus absence of ammonoid taxa (diversity) and their abundance variations can be interpreted in terms of biogeographic affinities of the faunas, palaeoenvironments and palaeoceanoographic changes as sea level and/or climatic and/or trophic resource varied. This approach allows a discussion about the various habitats of ammonoids (proximal versus distal settings; shallow versus deep waters).

Among involute/evolute planispiral ammonoids, *Schloenbachia* is generally the most dominant genus in the Blieux section (Reboulet et al., 2013; Fig. 4). This genus is generally interpreted as belonging to a temperate realm with boreal influence (Juignet and Kennedy, 1976; Kennedy and Cobban, 1976; Thomeil, 1992; Monnet and Bucher, 2002; Monnet et al., 2003; Wilmsen and Mosavinia, 2011). During the Cenomanian, Southeast France could have corresponded to the southern boundary of the occidental North European Province as is shown by the distribution and the frequency of the boreal genus *Schloenbachia*.

Thomel (1992) observed that *Schloenbachia* is very abundant in some areas of the Vocontian Basin (see below) in the Lower Cenomanian and in the lower part of the Middle Cenomanian (*A. rhotomagense Zone*; *T. costatus Subzone*); he emphasised that this genus becomes much rarer in the upper part of the *A. rhotomagense Zone* (*T. acutus Subzone*) and it is very rare or even absent at the base of the Upper Cenomanian and through this stage. This trend in abundance variations could be related to the increase in shelf–sea temperatures recorded in several European sections from the lower part of the Middle Cenomanian (top of MCE) to the Cenomanian/Turonian boundary (CTBE) (Voigt et al., 2004). The Middle to Late Cenomanian warming has also been observed in the tropical Atlantic (Demerara Rise, Forster et al., 2007).

The strong abundance of *Schloenbachia* at the Blieux section can be related to the relatively deep palaeoenvironment of the studied area. *Schloenbachia* was generally abundant in pelagic/distal palaeoenvironments and rare in neritic/proximal palaeoenvironments of the Vocontian Basin (Thomel, 1972, 1992). This genus seemed also to be slightly more frequent in distal than in proximal palaeoenvironments of the Anglo–Paris Basin (data of the *A. rhotomagense Zone*; Juignet and Kennedy, 1976). Therefore, *Schloenbachia* could have inhabited the neritic area but they preferentially lived in the open oceanic domain. Taking into account this interpretation, the regression observed around the Lower/Middle Cenomanian boundary (Juignet, 1980; Gale, 1990, 1995; Robaszynski et al., 1998; “The Mid Cenomanian Eustatic Low” of Hancock (2003)) and consequently the decrease in bathymetry during the early part of the Middle Cenomanian (3rd order LSD, Fig. 4) could partly explain the decrease in abundances of *Schloenbachia* and their low number in the lower part of the Middle Cenomanian of the Blieux section.

Among heteromorphs, *Sciponoceras* is the most characteristic genus due to its great abundance in the Middle Cenomanian of the Blieux section where it reaches 43.8% in the assemblages in bundle 5 (Fig. 4). These orthocones (*S. baculoides*) are generally rare or absent in shallow/proximal palaeoenvironments and common or abundant in deep/distal palaeoenvironments of North-West European basins (Juignet and Kennedy, 1976; Kennedy and Cobban, 1976; Thomeil, 1992; Wilmsen, 2003; Wilmsen et al., 2007). Taking into account this interpretation about the habitat of *S. baculoides* (that it mainly inhabited in the oceanic domain), the presence versus absence of the taxon and its abundance variations during the Cenomanian could be related to sea level variations. This approach is based on a bibliographic compilation concerning the range and abundance of the four successive *Sciponoceras* species (*S. roto*, Robaszynski et al., 1980; Amédro, 1986; Gale et al., 1996, 2011; *S. baculoides*, Reboulet et al., 2013 and references therein; *S. gracile* and *S. bohemicum anterius*, Robaszynski et al., 1998; Gale et al., 2005) in the Anglo–Paris Basin (Kennedy and Juignet, 1975; Kennedy and Juignet, 1983; Robaszynski et al., 1998; Amédro and Robaszynski, 1999) and in the Münster Basin (Wilmsen, 2003; Wilmsen et al., 2007). According to this, it appears that *Sciponoceras* could be present during 3rd order Lowstand System Tract (scattered occurrence) but it generally occurred in mass during 3rd order Transgressive System Tracts. In the Blieux section (Fig. 4), the first *Sciponoceras* occurred in the upper part of 3rd order LSD; no sampling has been made for the uppermost part of the section interpreted as 3rd order TSD.

The Mid-Cenomanian Event I is composed of two peaks, la and Ib (Jarvis et al., 2006 and reference therein). In the Anglo–Paris and Lower Saxony basins, the first occurrence of *S. baculoides* is recorded in layers C1 (Southernham, Paul et al., 1994) and C1e (Wunstor, Wilmsen et al., 2007), characterised by the second peak of the positive δ13C excursion (MCE Ib; see Reboulet et al., 2013 for correlations). In the other studied sections of these two basins (Reboulet et al., 2013), these orthocones are recorded slightly higher: C5 at Cap Blanc–Nez and Damnes (Amédro et al., 1994; Amédro and Robaszynski, 1999; Robaszynski et al., 1998) and C6 at Folkestone (Paul et al., 1994). This could be explained by local variations in the preservation and/or by the fact that *S. baculoides* is rare in the lower part of its range and common to frequent in the upper part (Reboulet et al., 2013 and references therein). In the Speeton section (Cleveland Basin, England; Paul et al., 1994), *S. baculoides* has been found in one layer: C1 that recorded the second peak of the positive δ13C excursion. In the Blieux section, *S. baculoides* first occurs in layer 578 that corresponds to the highest value of the second peak (MCE Ib, Reboulet et al., 2013, Fig. 9). An increase in δ13C recorded in marine carbonate is generally interpreted as the consequence of an increase in primary productivity (sink of light carbon) sometimes associated with an increase in organic matter preservation (Scholle and Arthur, 1980; Arthur et al., 1987). Could there not be a link between the occurrence of *Sciponoceras* and the fertility of sea surface waters?
5.3. Clay mineral assemblages

The presence of large amounts of I/S R0 indicates that the sedimentary series did not undergo significant burial. These minerals are very sensitive to temperature increase and their progressive illitisation takes place as soon as the temperature reaches 70–80 °C (Srodon et al., 2009). Consequently the clay assemblages of the Blieux section are mainly detrital in origin and reflect environmental changes including differential settling processes, climate, intensity of runoff and detrital sources. The weak influence of a thermal diagenesis is confirmed by \( t_{\text{max}} \) values measured on organic-matter of a few samples. These values, comprised between 419 and 433 °C (Sauvage, 2011), are totally consistent with the presence of I/S R0 (Dellisanti et al., 2010) and indicate that organic matter is immature.

5.3.1. Cenomanian clay sedimentation

In North-West Europe, Cenomanian sediments mainly consist of chalk. The clay fraction of the Cenomanian chalk is also dominantly composed of I/S R0 (highly smectitic minerals) but there is some temporal and spatial variability. In Normandy and in the depo-center of the Paris Basin (chalk 700 boreholes) the proportion of I/S R0 reaches 90% throughout the Cenomanian with very little variation (Deconinck et al., 1991b; Deconinck et al., 2005). In the North of France (Bouloonnais area, Cap Blanc Nez section), the Lower Cenomanian chalk shows a clay fraction composed of I/S but in the Middle and Late Cenomanian, illite and kaolinite increase sharply (Deconinck et al., 1991b). This change in clay mineralogy occurring at the transition between M. dixoni and A. rhotomagense zones probably results from increasing runoff following a tectonic rejuvenation of the Anglo-Brabant Massif. In England, the clay fraction of the Lower chalk (Cenomanian in age) equally shows great differences from one section to another (Morgan-Jones, 1977; Jeans, 2006).

5.3.2. Origin of smectitic minerals

The origin of smectitic minerals in marine sediments is controversial (as extensively discussed by Chamley, 1989) and Thiry (2000)). The abundance of these minerals in Cretaceous sediments, notably in those drilled in the Atlantic Ocean, is interpreted differently. Smectitic minerals are considered detrital, arguing on their chemical composition (Al—Fe beidellite) similar to smectites formed in soils, Rare Earth Element (REE) profiles, and strontium isotope composition (Chamley, 1989, p. 336–343). A volcanogenic or authigeneric origin of smectitic minerals is also often proposed based on the common occurrence of the paragenesis smectite–opal CT-clinoptilolite. The controversy also results mainly from the difference between smectite-poor continental series and smectite-rich marine coeval sediments. This paradox is tentatively explained by the massive neoformation of smectite in oceanic basins or by the transformation of detrital clay particles into smectitic minerals (Thiry and Jaccqu, 1993). The study of transect from proximal areas to the distal part of the basin shows that differential settling processes are partly responsible for the smectite enrichment in (hemi) pelagic environments (e.g., Chamley et al., 1990; Deconinck and Vanderavenoet, 1996). Considering the clay fraction of the chalk, it is clear that smectitic minerals correspond to a formation in slowly deposited sediments and smectite deriving from the submarine weathering of volcanic glass shards (Deconinck and Chamley, 1995; Jeans, 2006). Environmental conditions during the Late Cretaceous were altogether favourable to largely dominated smectite-rich clay sedimentation: high sea level, low relief on continental areas, more or less arid climate, low sedimentation rate and volcanism expressed by the common occurrences of bentonite layers notably in the Middle and Upper Turonian (Deconinck et al., 1991a; Wray, 1995, 1999; Wray et al., 1995; Godet et al., 2003; Deconinck et al., 2005).

The abundance of smectitic minerals in the Blieux section is therefore consistent with the usual Cenomanian clay sedimentation. The most striking feature observed on the Blieux section is the parallel evolution of the kaolinite / I/S ratio with the evolution from a marly sedimentation to calcarceous bundles.

The gradual increase in the proportions of smectitic minerals from marly intervals to calcarceous bundles can be interpreted in two ways. It can result either from a progressive deepening of the depositional environment or from a gradual transition from humid to more arid climatic conditions. In fact the deepening of the depositional environment may cause an increase of the proportions of smectitic minerals at the expense of kaolinite due to differential sedimentation of these two mineral species. However, this explanation is not consistent with the sequence-stratigraphic interpretation which considers marly intervals as transgressive and representing hightstand systems tracts while calcarceous bundles are considered to represent lowstand systems tracts (Reboulet et al., 2013). It is more likely that kaolinite-rich marly intervals were deposited during humid periods favouring runoff while smectite-rich calcarceous bundles were deposited during more arid climatic conditions.

6. Discussion

6.1. Palaeoenvironmental and palaeoclimatic reconstructions around the MCE I in the Blieux section

Nannofossil and clay mineral assemblages both allow the reconstruction of sea-surface trophic and palaeoclimatic (in terms of humidity/aridity) conditions prevailing during the Early–Middle Cenomanian in the Blieux section. Nutrients for nannofossils can be delivered from the emerged continents to the basin via an intensified runoff, during the development of humid conditions as shown by the increase in kaolinite and illite contents (Moiroud et al., 2012). In the Blieux section, a significant positive correlation \( r = 0.542 \) has been observed between the kaolinite / I/S ratio and the nannofossil flux (Fig. 7), suggesting that humid versus arid climatic conditions mainly control the nannofossil primary productivity. Isotopic carbon data have been already commented on the paper of Reboulet et al. (2013); they are compared with other data in this present study and integrated in the reconstruction

![Fig. 7. Bivariate plot showing the relationship between kaolinite / I/S ratio and nannofossil flux.](image-url)
when some new interpretations are proposed with respect to the previous work.

In the marly interval located below bundle 4, the nannofossil primary productivity was at a maximum (meso-eutrophic conditions), together with maximum proportions of illite and kaolinite. Nutrients were delivered from the emergent continents to the basin via an intensified runoff, during the development of humid conditions. These climatic conditions took place during the end of formation of a 3rd order HSD and 400 ky TSD and HSD.

Just below and within bundle 4, including the MCE Ia, the nannofossil primary productivity decreased to reach very low values (strong oligotrophic conditions). This is compatible with strongly reduced runoff and associated nutrient fluxes due to the development of arid climatic conditions shown by minimum percents of illite and kaolinite. These climatic conditions were contemporaneous with major sea level fall (both 3rd order and 400 ky LSDs). During these palaeoenvironmental conditions (very low nutrient levels and marine regression), there was a reduction in the abundance of nannofossils, ammonoids and benthic macrofauna (sea urchins and inocerams), but no major changes in assemblages are observed in these groups.

In the calcareous interval including the MCE Ib and bundle 5, the nannofossil primary productivity, as recorded by nannofossil fluxes, first increased, then varied with values higher with respect to bundle 4. The abundance of meso-eutrophic nannofossil taxa stayed low during this time interval suggesting low mesotrophic conditions. The MCE Ib occurred at the end of the transgressive part of a medium-scale sequence (400 ky). A larger marine surface oceanic circulation. Then, changes in nannofossil productivity (2008). This suggests that for each considered time interval (Early, Middle and Late Cenomanian) the distribution of nutrients in marine waters as due to the mode of oceanic circulation, limited to several eddies during the Cenomanian, as was proposed by Hay (2008). This suggests that for each considered time interval (Early, Middle and Late Cenomanian) the distribution of nutrients in marine waters was uniform probably due to the same mode of oceanic circulation. Then, changes in nannofossil productivity during the Cenomanian could be mainly explained by change in climatic conditions.

6.3. The occurrences of Sciponoceras with respect to positive excursions of $\delta^{13}$C

The occurrences of Sciponoceras species seem to be correlated with the three major positive $\delta^{13}$C excursions recognised during the Cenomanian (as previously shown for the Mid-Cenomanian Event I; part 5.2) and its boundaries: Albian/Cenomanian Boundary Event, and Cenomanian/Turonian Boundary Event. The Albian/Cenomanian Boundary Event (Jarvis et al., 2006) comprised four secondary isotope excursions decreasing in maximum value up section. At the Mont Risou section (SE France), that is the GSSP for base of the Cenomanian Stage (Kennedy et al., 2004), the first occurrence of S. rotondum can be correlated with the fourth peak (named “D” in Gale et al. (1996 and 2011) or “C” in Jarvis et al. (2006)) of the Albian/Cenomanian isotope excursion.

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pelagic setting</th>
<th>Hemipelagic</th>
<th>Intraslab basin</th>
<th>Mid shelf</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DSDP Site 549 (Goban Spur, North Atlantic)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSDP Site 551 (Goban Spur, North Atlantic)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODP Site 1258 (Demerara Rise, Central Atlantic)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODP Site 1260 (Demerara Rise, Central Atlantic)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blieux section (Wunstorf Basin)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wunstorf core (Lower Saxony Basin)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastbourne section (Anglo–Paris Basin)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Cenomanian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Cenomanian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCEI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late Cenomanian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTBE (OAE 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pelagic setting</th>
<th>Hemipelagic</th>
<th>Intraslab basin</th>
<th>Mid shelf</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DSDP Site 549 (Goban Spur, North Atlantic)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSDP Site 551 (Goban Spur, North Atlantic)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODP Site 1258 (Demerara Rise, Central Atlantic)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODP Site 1260 (Demerara Rise, Central Atlantic)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blieux section (Wunstorf Basin)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wunstorf core (Lower Saxony Basin)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastbourne section (Anglo–Paris Basin)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Cenomanian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Cenomanian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCEI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late Cenomanian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTBE (OAE 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.2. Nannofossil primary productivity during the Cenomanian

Mean nannofossil flux values of the Blieux section for the Early–Middle Cenomanian interval are reported in Table 3. They are respectively 6.6 E10 sp./m²/yr for the Early Cenomanian, 2.58 E10 sp./m²/yr for the Middle Cenomanian and 3.18 E10 sp./m²/yr for the interval corresponding to the MCE I. For this time interval, nannofossil absolute abundances (obtained with the same random settling as in the present study) are available for the Lower Saxony Basin (Wunstorf core, Linnert et al., 2010), the DSDP Site 549 (Goban Spur, North Atlantic; Linnert et al., 2011a) and the ODP sites 1260 and 1258 (Demerara Rise, Central Atlantic; Hardas and Mutterlose, 2007 and Hardas et al., 2012). From these values and sedimentation rates calculated from orbital time scale published in Voigt et al. (2008), mean nannofossil fluxes have been calculated (Table 3). The decrease in nannofossil productivity observed in the Blieux section during the MCE I has been recorded in the ODP site 1260 (Hardas et al., 2012). Reduced surface water fertility attested by decrease in the relative abundance of high fertility nannofossil taxa is also observed throughout the OAE 2-interval (or CTBE characterised by two positive peaks in the $\delta^{13}$C) in many locations of Tethys and Atlantic (Linnert et al., 2011a and references herein). Considering the spatial and not temporal variations of nannofossil fluxes, it appears that, the values are not strongly different between the different locations for each interval considered (Early, Middle Cenomanian and MCE I; Table 3). This suggests that seasurface trophic conditions were more or less similar between the pelagic sites of the Atlantic, the epicontinental settings and the Vontocian Basin. Linnert et al. (2011a and b) have shown that palaeoenvironmental conditions (sea-surface fertility) were very similar between the DSDP site 551 (Goban Spur, North Atlantic) and the Eastbourne section (Anglo–Paris Basin) during OAE 2 times. They interpreted the even distribution of nutrients in marine waters as due to the mode of oceanic circulation, limited to several eddies during the Cenomanian, as was proposed by Hay (2008). This suggests that for each considered time interval (Early, Middle and Late Cenomanian) the distribution of nutrients in marine waters was uniform probably due to the same mode of oceanic circulation. Then, changes in nannofossil productivity during the Cenomanian could be mainly explained by change in climatic conditions.
The Cenomanian/Turonian Boundary Event (CTBE) is one of the largest carbon-isotope events in the geological record and is composed of two main build-ups (Jarvis et al., 2006 and references therein). According to the correlations between the Cap Blanc-Nez and Eastbourne sections (Anglo–Paris Basin) established by Robaszynski et al. (1998), the first occurrence of S. gracile can be correlated with the first peak of the positive δ13C excursion taking place in bed 3 of the Plenus Marl Member (Metacoceras gesselinianum Zone). In the uppermost part of the M. gesselinianum Zone of the Eastbourne section (that is used as a reference for the Cenomanian–Turonian succession in the chalk facies of NW Europe; Gale et al., 2005), S. gracile is replaced by S. bohemicum anterius that first occurs in the second peak of the excursion (first bed of the Ballard Cliff Member). At the Pueblo section (Colorado, USA), the first S. gracile has been found in layer 67 that corresponds to the first peak of the positive δ13C excursion (Gale et al., 2005 and references therein); these authors correlated this layer with bed 3 at Eastbourne.

Positive shifts of δ13C can be interpreted as enhanced sea surface fertility. A link between trophic resource variations in the water column and the occurrence and/or abundance of heteromorphs has been proposed (Reboulet et al., 2003; Reboulet, 2008). In the Blieux section, calcareous nannofossils do not show a high primary productivity during the MCE I; on the contrary, trophic conditions as recorded by nannofossils were low, and the nannofossil primary productivity cannot explain the positive excursion of δ13C. Moreover, reduced sea-surface fertility, recorded by nannofossils, is also observed for most of the studied sections and DSDP/ODP Sites during the CTBE. Thus, the link between the occurrence of Sciponoceras and the positive δ13C excursions seems to be more complex than a simple interpretation in terms of trophic resources.

7. Conclusions

The analysis of calcareous nannofossils, ammonoids and clay mineral assemblages across the Mid-Cenomanian Event (MCE I) investigated in the Blieux section (southeast France) has led to the following observations.

The highest nannofossil primary productivity occurred in the marly interval located below the MCE I and corresponded to mesotrophic levels in sea surface during humid climatic conditions and 3rd order hightstand deposits.

In the calcareous interval (bundle 4) including the MCE Ia, climatic conditions became arid, the nannofossil primary productivity sharply decreased and very oligotrophic levels were recorded in the sea surface. These changes took place during 3rd order and medium scale lowstand deposits. This major sea level fall could partly explain the decrease in the relative abundance of Schloenbachia (planispiral ammonoids), that was the dominant genus during the Early Cenomanian.

In the calcareous interval including the MCE Ib and bundle 5, a return to more humid conditions was responsible for increasing runoff and associated nutrients leading to a slight increase in marine nannofossil primary productivity. This interval was characterised by the dominance of Sciponoceras (orthocone heteromorph ammonoids). The successive occurrences of Sciponoceras during the Cenomanian can be correlated with particular palaeoenvironmental changes; the ranges of the four successive species seem mainly restricted to the third order transgressive system tracts and their first occurrences took place during positive shifts of δ13C. However, in the Blieux section, the positive δ13C excursion of the MCE I was not explained by an increase in nannofossil primary productivity; consequently no clear relations can be established between the occurrence of S. baculoides and trophic resources.
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