


Available at www.sciencedirect.comjournal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/watres

Amazonian former gold mined soils as a source of methylmercury: Evidence from a small scale watershed in French Guiana

Stephane Guedron^{a,*}, Michel Grimaldi^b, Catherine Grimaldi^{c,d}, Daniel Cossa^e,
Delphine Tisserand^a, Laurent Charlet^a

^aIRD, Institut des Sciences de la Terre (ISTerre), UMR5559 (IRD/UJF/CNRS), University Joseph Fourier, BP 53, F-38041 Grenoble, France

^bIRD, UMR Bioemco-Biogéochimie et Ecologie des Milieux Continentaux, UMR211, Institut de Recherche pour le Développement, 32 avenue Henri Varagnat, F-93143 Bondy, France

^cINRA, UMR1069, Soil Agro and Hydrosystem, F-35000 Rennes, France

^dAgrocampus Rennes, UMR1069, Soil Agro and Hydrosystem, F-35000 Rennes, France

^eIFREMER, Laboratoire de Biogéochimie des Contaminants Métalliques, Centre de Méditerranée, BP 330, Zone Portuaire de Brégaillon, F-83507 La Seyne-sur-mer, France

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 22 October 2010

Received in revised form

16 February 2011

Accepted 17 February 2011

Available online 24 February 2011

Keywords:

Mercury

Methylmercury

Tropical watershed

Gold placers

Stream water

Oxisols

Hydromorphic soils

ABSTRACT

Total mercury (HgT) and monomethylmercury (MMHg) were investigated in a tropical head watershed (1 km²) of French Guiana. The watershed includes a pristine area on the hill slopes and a former gold mined flat in the bottomland. Concentrations of dissolved and particulate HgT and MMHg were measured in rain, throughfall, soil water and at three points along the stream. Samples were taken in-between and during 14 storm events at the beginning and middle of the 2005 and 2006 rainy seasons. Dissolved and particulate HgT concentrations in the stream slightly increased downstream, while dissolved and particulate MMHg concentrations were low at the pristine sub-watershed outlet (median = 0.006 ng L⁻¹ and 1.84 ng g⁻¹, respectively) and sharply increased at the gold mined flat outlet (median = 0.056 ng L⁻¹ and 6.80 ng g⁻¹, respectively). Oxisols, which are dominant in the pristine area act as a sink of HgT and MMHg from rain and throughfall inputs. Hydromorphic soils in the flat are strongly contaminated with Hg (including Hg⁰ droplets) and their structure has been disturbed by former gold-mining processes, leading to multiple stagnant water areas where biogeochemical conditions are favorable for methylation. In the former gold mined flat high dissolved MMHg concentrations (up to 0.8 ng L⁻¹) were measured in puddles or suboxic soil pore waters, whereas high dissolved HgT concentrations were found in lower Eh conditions. Iron-reducing bacteria were suggested as the main methylators since highest concentrations for dissolved MMHg were associated with high dissolved ferrous iron concentrations. The connection between saturated areas and stagnant waters with the hydrographic network during rain events leads to the export of dissolved MMHg and HgT in stream waters, especially at the beginning of the rainy season. As both legal and illegal gold-mining continues to expand in French Guiana, an increase in dissolved and particulate MMHg emissions in the hydrographic network is expected. This will enhance MMHg bio-amplification and present a threat to local populations, whose diet relies mainly on fish.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +33 0 4 76 63 59 28; fax: +33 0 4 76 63 52 52.

E-mail address: stephane.guedron@ujf-grenoble.fr (S. Guedron).

0043-1354/\$ – see front matter © 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

doi:10.1016/j.watres.2011.02.022

1. Introduction

Mercury (Hg) contamination of Amazonian ecosystems through the use of elemental Hg for gold amalgamation has been highlighted by many scientific studies (Dorea and Barbosa, 2007; Lechler et al., 2000; Malm, 1998; Pfeiffer et al., 1993; Roulet et al., 1999b; Wasserman et al., 2003). Toxicological concerns related to high monomethylmercury (MMHg) concentrations in Amazonian fish have been evidenced for Amerindian populations whose diet relies mainly on fish (Brabo et al., 2000; Durrieu et al., 2005; Frery et al., 2001; Harper and Harris, 2008; Porvari, 1995).

The main sources of MMHg have been identified in areas where oxygen content drops sharply, such as river and lake sediments, as well as in lake water columns at the oxyclines and in temperate flooded environments (Coquery et al., 2003; Hall et al., 2008). Amazonian ecosystems combine most of the surrounding geochemical conditions favorable for Hg methylation such as high temperature, high organic matter content, abundant electron acceptors (i.e., sulfate ions and ferric iron contents), and intensive microbial activities (Benoit et al., 2003; Ullrich et al., 2001). Nevertheless, most studies performed in Amazonian watersheds have focused on total Hg distribution in waters, river sediments and soils (Barbosa et al., 2003; Dorea and Barbosa, 2007; Marchand et al., 2006; Roulet et al., 1998b) and few data are available for MMHg. It is important to gain knowledge about Hg methylation in tropical watersheds since correlations found between total Hg and MMHg concentrations are commonly weak and related to external environmental factors, such as the chemical form of Hg^{II} , which have a strong influence on its bioavailability for methylation (Birkett and Lester, 2005; Lambertsson and Nilsson, 2006; Ullrich et al., 2001). The presence of elemental Hg is also an important factor which must be considered in gold-mining areas, since Dominique et al. (2007) have recently shown that, under experimental conditions, the presence of elemental Hg droplets can enhance MMHg production.

In this study, we examined if MMHg was produced in flooded soils of a former gold mined area and tested in the field the experimental findings of Dominique et al. (2007) regarding Hg methylation in the presence of Hg^0 droplets. We focussed on particulate and dissolved Hg^{T} and MMHg outputs from a small watershed covered by tropical-humid vegetation. This watershed was chosen (i) because it includes a pristine area and a Hg contaminated former gold mined flat, and (ii) because of its small scale (1 km²), which enables an optimal understanding of Hg distribution in and between the different pedological and hydrological compartments. Finally, we attempted to analyze the influence of internal (i.e., geochemical, hydrological, geomorphological) and external (i.e., seasonality) determinants on Hg methylation and emissions to the watershed's hydrological network.

2. Site, material and methods

2.1. Environmental settings

2.1.1. Location

The study site is the Combat Creek (CC) watershed, located in French Guiana, South America (52°23'W, 4°35'N) (Fig. 1),

covered by tropical rain forest. Its surface area is approximately 1 km². The climate is tropical-humid with a mean annual rainfall of 4000 mm (Barret, 2004). Precipitation mainly occurs from December to July, with May and June as the wettest months.

2.1.2. Bedrock

The CC watershed is located in the 'Amina series' of the Guiana Proterozoic shield consisting primarily of dark schist and thin sandstone (Milési et al., 1995). Vast gravel deposits from ancestral rivers within the valleys contain large quantities of placer gold, derived from the weathering of gold–quartz veins.

2.1.3. Soil cover

Soil associations of the CC watershed have been described in detail in a previous publication (Guedron et al., 2009). Oxisols are developed on the hill tops and on the steep upper- and middle-slopes, ultisols occur mostly on the foot-slopes, and hydromorphic soils are found in the valley referred to as "flats". A large part of the watershed was considered to be pristine while in the lowland, ancient "Long Tom" sluices, gold-bearing gravel heaps and elemental Hg droplets attest to the former gold-mining activities dating from the early 1950s.

2.1.4. Hydrology

The Combat Creek is a tributary of the Boulanger River (BR). The CC watershed outlet exhibits a permanent discharge, in contrast to intermittent flow in upstream channels. Water discharge response to rain is progressive and lasting, with a high amplitude at the outlet, contrary to upstream and midstream sections, where the response is rapid and short with low amplitude. Surface runoff is visible during heavy rainfalls on the steep hill slopes (often between 15 and 30%). In the former gold mined flat, due to the disorganized original topography, the flow is fractionated into a web of small creek tributaries and multiple stagnant water zones which are not always linked to each other or connected to the hydrographic network.

2.2. Sampling procedure

Four points were monitored along the streams (Fig. 1): PS (pristine spring) is a spring of the CC which drains a small sub-watershed and only flows during the rainy season; MS (middlestream) and CO (contaminated flat outlet) are respectively in the upstream and downstream part of the former gold mined flat; BR is located on the Boulanger River, upstream the confluence with the CC (Fig. 1). Rain and stream waters were sampled during and between fourteen rain events at the beginning (08th, 09th and 13th December 2005; 08th, 09th and 12th December 2006) and in the middle of the rainy season (24th, 25th, 27th and 30th May 2005; 18th, 20th, 21st and 25th June 2006). In addition, several superficial stagnant water areas of the former gold mined flat were sampled, as well as the hydromorphic soil's pore waters. Metacrylate-lined rain gauges were set up close to each sampling point to measure rainfall under forest cover and to collect throughfall samples after each rain event. At the pristine spring, an additional rain




Fig. 1 – The watershed study site with water sampling (PS – pristine spring, MS – middlestream and CO – contaminated flat outlet, in the Combat Creek and BR – Boulanger River), soil sampling locations and soil types (O: oxisol, U: ultisol and H: hydromorphic soil).

gauge was set up outside the forest cover to collect rain samples. The creek flow was gauged using a C2 OTT[®] current meter set, a mobile decameter and vertical ladders, as described by Herschy (1995). Mercury specific fluxes were calculated using discharge and Hg concentration data obtained during and between rain events, and considered the surface area of upstream watersheds.

On the CC watershed, soil profiles next to PS, MS and CO were sampled systematically along toposequences (Fig. 1). The toposequences next to the MS and CO reached the gold mined flats. Soil samples were collected, every 10 or 20 cm depths, down to 1–2 m, using an auger. All soil samples were collected in sterile plastic bags.

Water sample collection and treatment were performed using ultra-clean techniques (Cossa and Gobeil, 2000). All materials in contact with samples were acid-washed (5 days in 20% HNO₃ v/v, then 3 days in HCl 10% v/v) and rinsed several times with demineralized water (Milli-Q[®]) before use. Polyethylene gloves were used for handling operations. Clean Teflon[®] (FEP) bottles were stored in double polyethylene bags until use. Aliquots for total dissolved mercury ((HgT)_D) and

dissolved methylmercury ((MMHg)_D) analysis were collected in FEP bottles and acidified (HCl 0.5% v/v, Millipore Seastar) after filtration on 22-mm-filters (0.45 μm Sterivex[®]-HV) (Parker and Bloom, 2005). Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC) samples were stored in Pyrex[®] bottles (previously heated at 500 °C) and acidified (HCl 1% v/v, Millipore Seastar) after filtration (0.45 μm Sterivex[®]-HV). Total particulate mercury ((HgT)_P) and methylmercury ((MMHg)_P) samples were obtained by filtration on 47-mm-diameter filters (0.45 μm hydrophilic – LCR Teflon[®]) (Cossa et al., 1996). All samples for major elements were filtered (0.45 μm PVDF). Samples for cations analysis were acidified (HNO₃ 2% v/v, Seastar), and samples for anion analysis were stored in polyethylene bottles and frozen until analysis. Particulate Organic Carbon (POC) samples were obtained through filtration (0.7 μm GF/F[®], Whatman).

Interstitial soil waters were sampled using Milli-Q[®] rinsed microporous polymer tube samplers (Rhizon[®] SMS, Rhizosphere Research Products). The samplers were placed on the CC bank between the stream sampling points. One interstitial soil water profile was sampled using dialysis membrane techniques (metacrylate peeper) with a 0.45 μm HAWP

membrane. The peeper was first acid-washed as described above, then filled with Milli-Q[®] water and degassed with Hg-free nitrogen during a 15 day period. The peeper was placed in a hydromorphic soil from the former gold mined flat for 15 days for osmotic equilibration. The Eh profile was monitored several centimeters away from the peeper on the last day of equilibration just before the removal of the peepers. The sulfide-accumulating zone (SAZ) was identified with sulfide-sensitive sellotape (fixed on the peeper), through the formation of a surface darkening Ti-S complex (Jezequel et al., 2007). Aliquots for (MMHg)_D, DOC, anions, sulfides and (Fe^{II}) analysis were sampled in peeper cells every 3 cm and stored as previously described.

2.3. Analytical measurements

2.3.1. Soil analysis

Soil samples were freeze-dried, sieved, and the fraction <2 mm was crushed to grain size smaller than 63 μm for Hg analysis. Total Hg concentrations ([HgT]) were determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometry after dry mineralization and gold amalgamation with an automatic mercury analyser (Altec, Model AMA 254) with a relative precision of ±10% (Roos-Barracough et al., 2002). Concentrations obtained for repeated analyses of certified reference materials (CRM) never exceeded the range of concentration given for standards CRM 7002 (0.09 ± 0.012 μg g⁻¹ – Czech Metrological Institute) and MESS-3 (0.091 ± 0.008 μg g⁻¹ – National Research Council of Canada). The analytical quality was assured by analyzing every sample twice. Typically, the measurement error is usually about 5% and always below 10%. The detection limit, defined as three times the standard deviation of the blank (SD_{blk}), was 0.005 μg g⁻¹.

2.3.2. Water analyses

Samples were analyzed for [(HgT)_D] and [(HgT)_P], [(MMHg)_D] and [(MMHg)_P] by cold vapor atomic fluorescence spectrometry (CVAFS) after conversion of all mercury species into Hg⁰ (Bloom and Fitzgerald, 1988) followed by detection using a Tekran[®] (Model 2500) mercury detector. The principles of the methods used originate from the Bloom and Fitzgerald (1988) gold amalgamation method for (HgT)_D, from the Liang et al. (1994) ethylation method for (MMHg)_P and from the Tseng et al. (1998) hydration method for (MMHg)_D modified by Cossa et al. (2009). (HgT)_P was performed after HCl/HNO₃ digestion (10 h at 70 °C) in PFA Teflon reactors (Coquery et al., 1997). The detailed procedures are described elsewhere (Cossa et al., 2003, 2002). These quantifications were performed after checking for possible interference with the internal spikes (Coquery et al., 2003). The accuracy of analyses was checked using the CRM ORMS-3 (National Research Council of Canada) for (HgT)_D, CRM 7002 for (HgT)_P and CRM ERM-AE670 (IRMM – European Commission) for (MMHg)_D and (MMHg)_P. Analytical quality was assured by triplicating several samples, and the measurement error usually was approximately 10% and always below 15% for [(HgT)_D], [(HgT)_P], and [(MMHg)_D] and always below 30% for [(MMHg)_P]. The detection limits (3SD_{blk}) were 0.01 ng L⁻¹ for (HgT)_D, 0.004 ng L⁻¹ for (MMHg)_D, and 0.05 ng g⁻¹ for (MMHg)_P. Dissolved chloride, sulfate and nitrate ([Cl⁻], [SO₄²⁻] and [NO₃⁻]) were determined using ionic

chromatography (Dionex, model CD20). Dissolved reduced iron ([Fe^{II}]) and sulfide ([S^{II}]) were measured in the field with a Hach[®] (model DR/850) spectrometer (methods 8146 and 8131 for [Fe^{II}] and [S^{II}], respectively). [DOC] was determined using a Non Dispersive Infra-Red CO₂ spectrometer (NDIR, Shimatzu[®]) after humid oxidation in a sodium persulfate solution at 100 °C. [POC] was determined by dry combustion of GF/F filters, using a Fisons 1500 CHN autoanalyzer. Detection limits (3SD_{blk}) were 0.06 and 0.05 mg L⁻¹ for [SO₄²⁻] and [NO₃⁻], 0.01 mg L⁻¹ for [Fe^{II}] and [S^{II}], and 0.2 mg L⁻¹ for [DOC]. Eh, pH and conductivity were performed in situ using a Sentron Eh probe (model Argus with probe 67597), a WTW pH meter and a conductimeter (model 340i), respectively.

2.4. Use of chloride as hydrological index

Chloride (Cl⁻) was used as a hydrological index to trace the proportion of mixing in the stream between recent water, generally surface runoff characterized by low [Cl⁻] close to throughfall concentrations, and old water, corresponding to subsurface runoff or deep groundwater with typically higher [Cl⁻] (Christophersen and Neal, 1990; Grimaldi et al., 2004; Peters and Ratcliffe, 1998; Soulsby et al., 2007). The [Cl⁻] increase between both hydrological compartments is due to evapo-transpiration related to the residence time of water in the soil. Cl⁻ was thus used as a conservative element to compare the behavior of the various Hg species.

2.5. Statistical treatment

Since most geochemical data were not normally distributed, we have listed in tables: the mean, the median and the standard error of the mean (SEM), and as suggested by Webster (2001), the 6 following parameters (Supplementary Data): the mean, the standard error of the mean (SEM), the median, 25th percentiles (25th perc.), 75th percentiles (75th perc.) and the number of observations (N). We also used non-parametric tests, the Mann–Whitney rank sum test (U test), or the Kruskal–Wallis one way analysis of variance on ranks (H test), to compare two, or more than two sets of data, respectively, and pairwise multiple comparison according to Dunn's method to isolate the set or sets that differed from the others. Correlation coefficients (R) and P values (P) are reported. All statistical analyses were performed using Sigmastat[®].

3. Results

3.1. Total Hg in soils

On the slopes of the pristine part of the watershed, soil [HgT] globally decreased from oxisols to ultisols (Table 1), but the difference was statistically significant (t test, P < 0.001) only for the deeper layers (>0.5 m), because [HgT] rapidly decreased with depth in the ultisols. Soil surface layer [HgT] significantly increased (U Test, P = 0.006) from the pristine to the former gold mine flat soils (by a factor between 2 and 4 for the median values), up to a [HgT] maxima of 5.47 μg g⁻¹ [HgT] were highly variable over short distances and with depth in the former gold mined flat.

Table 1 – Soil total mercury (HgT) median and mean concentrations, and standard error of the mean (SEM) for different soil types; presented as median/mean (SEM).

Soil type	Soil depth (cm)	HgT ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$)
Oxisol (pristine slopes)	0–50	0.35/0.37 (0.04)
	>50	0.42/0.38 (0.03)
Ultisol (pristine slopes)	0–50	0.20/0.25 (0.07)
	>50	0.07/0.10 (0.04)
Hydromorphic soil (contaminated flat)	0–50	0.82/1.31 (0.35)
	>50	0.75/1.01 (0.26)

3.2. Total Hg in stream and rain waters

A global increase in total Hg concentrations (Table 2) in the CC stream waters was observed between the pristine spring (PS) and the contaminated flat outlet (CO). $[(\text{HgT})_{\text{P}}]$ and $[(\text{HgT})_{\text{D}}]$ significantly increased downstream (H test, $P < 0.001$) from PS to CO. On the contrary $[(\text{HgT})_{\text{P}}]$ and $[(\text{HgT})_{\text{D}}]$ were not significantly different between CO and BR. $[(\text{HgT})_{\text{D}}]$ in both throughfall and rain waters were higher than in stream waters at PS and MS (U test, $P < 0.001$), and were in the range of $[(\text{HgT})_{\text{D}}]$ measured at CO (Tables 2 and 3 and Fig. 2). Conversely, $[\text{Cl}^-]$ were higher in stream waters at PS than in throughfall and rain waters (H test, $P < 0.001$) due to the residence time in soil. Downstream, $[\text{Cl}^-]$ increased at CO, fed by older waters than the outlet of the pristine sub-catchment.

Most of the total Hg measured in the stream was bound to suspended particles with log partitioning coefficients ($\log K_{\text{D}} = \log[(\text{HgT})_{\text{P}}] - \log[(\text{HgT})_{\text{D}}]$) ranging from 3.8 to 8.3, with a median value of 5.9. HgT was not specifically associated with suspended organic particles since no correlation was observed between $[(\text{HgT})_{\text{P}}]$ and [POC].

Seasonal variations for total Hg concentrations were noticeable at MS and CO. At PS, the absence of discharge at the beginning of the rainy season precluded water sampling and seasonal comparison. $[(\text{HgT})_{\text{P}}]$ at CO were lower during the rain events at the beginning of the rainy season than those in the middle (U test, $P < 0.05$; respective medians of 1.01 and $0.77 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$). $[(\text{HgT})_{\text{D}}]$ at MS and CO were higher at the beginning of the rainy season than later in the season (U test, $P < 0.05$) (Fig. 2). At the same time, $[\text{Cl}^-]$ decreased between the beginning and the middle of the rainy season (U test, $P < 0.05$) due to the progressive renewal of soil waters and/or to the increase of surface water runoff in relation with intense rain events and to soil water saturation.

3.3. Methylmercury in stream and rain waters

The mean $[\text{MMHg}]/[\text{HgT}]$ ratios in stream waters equaled 2% and 1%, for the particulate and dissolved phases, respectively. For total Hg, a large downstream increase was observed for MMHg concentrations between the pristine sub-watershed and the contaminated flat (Table 2). $[(\text{MMHg})_{\text{P}}]$ were similar at PS, MS and BR but higher at CO (H test, $P < 0.001$), with a 3 times median increase between PS and CO. $[(\text{MMHg})_{\text{D}}]$ measured at PS and MS were very low, often under the detection limit ($< 0.004 \text{ ng L}^{-1}$), but significantly increased at CO (H test, $P < 0.001$), with a high variability. $[(\text{MMHg})_{\text{D}}]$ at BR ranged between the concentrations measured at PS, MS, and CO. In rain samples, $[(\text{MMHg})_{\text{D}}]$ were not significantly different than those in throughfalls, however, both were a slightly larger than the concentrations measured in PS stream waters (Table 3).

MMHg had a strong affinity for particulate organic matter since log partitioning coefficients ($\log K_{\text{D}} = \log[(\text{MMHg})_{\text{P}}] - \log[(\text{MMHg})_{\text{D}}]$) were high (between 7.4 and 9.5), and $[(\text{MMHg})_{\text{P}}]$ measured at CO were correlated with [POC] ($R = 0.457$, $P < 0.05$, $N = 24$). $[(\text{MMHg})_{\text{P}}]$ monitored at CO were lower at the beginning than in the middle of the rainy season (U test, $P < 0.001$; respective medians of 12.26 and 5.59 ng g^{-1}), as opposed to $[(\text{MMHg})_{\text{D}}]$ which was highly variable but globally higher (U test, $P < 0.001$) at the beginning of the rainy season than in the middle.

3.4. Dissolved total and methylmercury in soil waters of the gold mined flat

$[(\text{MMHg})_{\text{D}}]$ measured in various locations of the former gold mined flat area largely exceeded those measured in the pristine area for both overlying and subsurface soil pore waters (Table 3, Fig. 3). The highest $[(\text{MMHg})_{\text{D}}]$ were related to slightly negative or positive Eh values and high $[\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}]$. They also were associated with pH values between 5 and 6, while pH values measured in stream waters ranged from 4 to 5. High $[(\text{HgT})_{\text{D}}]$ also were found in these soil waters, especially with low Eh conditions and high $[\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}]$ (Fig. 3); however, no relation was observed between $[(\text{HgT})_{\text{D}}]$ and $[(\text{MMHg})_{\text{D}}]$.

Fig. 4 illustrates a complete vertical profile of $[(\text{MMHg})_{\text{D}}]$ for both overlying and pore waters of a disorganized hydromorphic soil of the flat, sampled near an ancient sluice at the beginning of the rainy season. In this profile, $[(\text{MMHg})_{\text{D}}]$ were low in the pore water and sharply increased in the overlying water. High $[(\text{MMHg})_{\text{D}}]$ were found in the upper part of the SAZ where the Eh increased, and above the SAZ where $[\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}]$ sharply increased.

Table 2 – Stream water total dissolved mercury ($(\text{HgT})_{\text{D}}$), particulate mercury ($(\text{HgT})_{\text{P}}$), dissolved monomethylmercury ($(\text{MMHg})_{\text{D}}$), and particulate monomethylmercury ($(\text{MMHg})_{\text{P}}$) median and mean concentrations, and SEM; presented as median/mean (SEM).

Sampling location:	$(\text{HgT})_{\text{D}}$ (ng L^{-1})	$(\text{HgT})_{\text{P}}$ ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$)	$(\text{MMHg})_{\text{D}}$ (ng L^{-1})	$(\text{MMHg})_{\text{P}}$ (ng g^{-1})
PS	0.94/0.98 (0.13)	0.25/0.53 (0.13)	0.006/0.016 (0.004)	1.84/1.86 (0.37)
MS	1.34/2.77 (0.75)	0.61/0.82 (0.17)	0.009/0.048 (0.033)	0.75/0.75 (0.67)
CO	1.57/4.78 (1.46)	0.88/1.99 (0.94)	0.056/0.062 (0.005)	6.80/9.59 (1.28)
BR	1.67/4.94 (3.43)	1.51/1.42 (0.33)	0.024/0.025 (0.004)	1.69/2.67 (1.33)

Table 3 – Rain, throughfalls, stagnant (overlying) waters and pore hydromorphic soil waters. Total dissolved mercury (HgT)_D and dissolved monomethylmercury (MMHg)_D median and mean concentrations, and SEM; presented as median/mean (SEM).

Sampling location	(HgT) _D (ng L ⁻¹)	(MMHg) _D (ng L ⁻¹)
Rain (PS)	4.83/4.63 (0.74)	0.010/0.011 (0.003)
Throughfall (PS)	3.98/3.93 (0.68)	0.017/0.026 (0.008)
Soil overlying water (Pristine sub-watershed)	1.70/3.11 (1.25)	0.005/0.007 (0.002)
Soil overlying water ^a (Contaminated flat)	–	0.615/0.670 (0.080)
Soil overlying water ^b (Contaminated flat)	2.01/2.01 (0.40)	0.082/0.243 (0.098)
Soil pore water ^a (Contaminated flat)	–	0.136/0.113 (0.034)
Soil pore water ^b (Contaminated flat)	2.34/5.49 (1.65)	0.161/0.231 (0.047)

a Relates to single location of the gold mined flat between 1 and 10 cm for stagnant waters and 0–15 cm for pore waters.

b Relates to various locations in the gold mined flat.

Both median [(MMHg)_D] and [(HgT)_D] in overlying soil and pore waters were higher at the beginning of the rainy season (0.27 ng L⁻¹ and 4.27 ng L⁻¹, for (MMHg)_D and (HgT)_D, respectively) than in the middle of the rainy season (0.11 ng L⁻¹ and 1.60 ng L⁻¹, for (MMHg)_D and (HgT)_D, respectively – *H* test, *P* < 0.01).

4. Discussion

4.1. Oxisols as a sink of total mercury and methylmercury in the pristine area

In the pristine area of the CC watershed, the decreasing [(HgT)_D] with increasing [Cl⁻] found between rain or throughfall waters and the stream (Fig. 2) is due to the adsorption of Hg on oxisol components during water percolation. The strong adsorption capacity of oxisols already was observed in another study on the Hg distribution in oxisols at the same site (Guedron et al., 2009).

The comparison of our data with those of other studies showed that in rain and throughfall waters, [(HgT)_D] were within the range of reported concentrations for 83 rain events (2.34 ± 0.27 ng L⁻¹) monitored in French Guiana (Muresan et al., 2007b) and that [(MMHg)_D] were within the range of concentrations published for temperate regions (Lawson and Mason, 2001) and for 50 rain events (0.03 ± 0.09 ng L⁻¹) monitored in French Guiana (Muresan et al., 2007b). In spring waters, [(HgT)_D] were similar to concentrations reported for larger Amazonian rivers (0.4–2.8 ng L⁻¹ in Lechler et al., 2000; Roulet et al., 1998a) and [(MMHg)_D] were within the range of concentrations reported by several authors (Bisinoti et al., 2007; Roulet et al., 1999a) for large Amazonian rivers (0.02–0.24 ng L⁻¹) and for large French Guiana rivers (0.06–0.10 ng L⁻¹ according to Muresan, 2006; Roulet et al., 1999a). These comparisons confirm that tropical soils act as a sink for Hg and regulate Hg fluxes towards small as well as large hydrosystems (Roulet et al., 2001).

4.2. Evidence of soil total mercury contamination in the former gold mined flat

The [HgT] reaching up to 100 times the values reported from pristine hydromorphic soils in French Guiana (Guedron et al., 2006) demonstrates the large contamination of the former

gold mined flat by mercury. This large Hg contamination of the flat explains the downstream increase of [(HgT)_P] along the stream (Table 2), which carries an increased proportion of contaminated particles from the pristine spring to the flat outlet. [(HgT)_P] in suspended particles are higher than in the soil surface (Tables 1 and 2), since suspended particles consist of the soil's finest granulometric fraction, which are enriched in Hg (Grimaldi et al., 2008). In contrast, [(HgT)_P] monitored at the outlet of the pristine sub-catchment are within a similar range of reported data from larger Amazonian rivers (0.11–0.36 µg g⁻¹ in Lechler et al., 2000; Roulet et al., 1998a).

4.3. Hydromorphic soils as a source of methylmercury for the stream

While the downstream increase in [(HgT)_P] and [(HgT)_D] is gradual until reaching the watershed outlet, the sharp increase in [(MMHg)_P] and [(MMHg)_D] between MS and CO suggests a particularly strong MMHg input from the contaminated flat. Both [(MMHg)_P] and [(MMHg)_D] monitored at the outlet of the watershed exceeded the range of concentrations given in the literature cited above for large Amazonian and French Guiana rivers, including the Boulanger river (Muresan, 2006; Roulet et al., 1999a). Puddles and pore waters of hydromorphic soils are the most probable MMHg sources in the flat.

The numerous locally isolated water puddles in the flat are attributed to former mining activities which have strongly disturbed the flat's topography. The former "Long Tom" mining process and stream tapping, which was shifted laterally by miners along the flat, have led to a web of small creek tributaries and to multiple stagnant water areas which are not always connected to the hydrographical network. The intense disturbance of soil structure leads to local drainage deficiency reflected by the presence of quasi-permanently flooded soils. Because the ancient gold-mining activities, such as gold amalgamation and burning of amalgams, were performed on site, Hg contamination in the flat is due both to the loss of Hg⁰ droplets, and to the rapid deposition of atmospheric Hg in the local environment. This explains the high variability of [HgT] in soils. In addition, Hg was observed to be present mainly in its elemental form in these contaminated soils (Guedron et al., 2009). The high [(MMHg)_D] monitored in various locations of the flat corroborates the laboratory simulation of gold-mining activities (Dominique et al., 2007), which indicated that the




Fig. 2 – Dissolved [(HgT)_D] and [(MMHg)_D] versus [Cl⁻] for rain, throughfall and stream waters at each sampling location (PS, MS and CO), sampled during and out of rain events at the beginning and the middle of the rainy season.

presence of Hg⁰ can enhance Hg methylation in the Amazonian environment. The local soil disturbance favors the existence of high methylation areas. In hydromorphic soils and isolated puddles, water residence time can be long, which induces anoxic conditions and high concentrations of

dissolved elements. Low Eh, pH between 5 and 6, iron oxides, high concentrations of DOC, SO₄²⁻ and (HgT)_D are favorable for methylation (Benoit et al., 1999, 2003; Bisogni and Lawrence, 1975; Mason et al., 1995). Under these conditions iron-reducing as well as sulfate-reducing bacteria (IRB and




Fig. 3 – [(MMHg)_D] and [(HgT)_D] versus Eh and [Fe^{II}] in soil waters. Soil pore waters and overlying waters were sampled near the PS and in the former gold mined area between MS and CO, in the beginning and middle of the rainy season. Regression lines are plotted if significant ($p < 0.05$).

SRB, respectively) are reported to be the main mercury methylators (Barkay et al., 1997; Fleming et al., 2006). The increase of [(MMHg)_D] within the 10 first centimeters of overlying waters with high dissolved [Fe^{II}], and to a lesser extent in the upper SAZ in soil pore waters (Fig. 4) reinforces the hypothesis of a contribution of IRB and SRB in the methylation, as supported by archetypal chemical changes where microorganisms shift from FeOOH(s) to SO₄²⁻ as an electron acceptor. The occurrence of high [(MMHg)_D] with high [Fe^{II}] suggests a greater availability of (HgT)_D for IRB than for SRB in the SAZ, since in absence of sulfides, the adsorption and co-precipitation of Hg(II) onto FeS(s) is restricted (Fink, 2002; Mehrotra et al., 2003; Mehrotra and Sedlak, 2005). The striking feature of high [(MMHg)_D], associated with slightly negative or slightly positive Eh values measured in various overlying and soil pore waters of the flat (Figs. 3 and 4), corresponds well with the observations made in lake sediment/water interfaces (Birkett and Lester, 2005; Muresan et al., 2007a, 2007b; Ullrich et al., 2001).

4.4. Seasonal influence on MMHg emissions to the stream

The favorable geochemical conditions for mercury methylation can occur during the dry season when they are favored by a long residence time of water in puddles and soils of the gold mined flat. At the beginning of the rainy season (MMHg)_D is discharged by pulses during rain events which leads to high concentrations in the stream. In the middle of the rainy season the decrease of [(MMHg)_D] is due both to unfavorable methylation conditions and global dilution, as indicated by [Cl⁻] decrease at the same time. Similarly, the gold mined flat puddles and soil pore waters are more concentrated in Cl⁻ at the beginning of the rainy season than later (*U* test, $P < 0.05$). As for Cl⁻, the renewal of stagnant overlying and pore waters of the contaminated flat leads to the dilution of (MMHg)_D and (HgT)_D, as well as other dissolved elements such as DOC and SO₄²⁻. The dissolution of particulate OM and minerals, as well as methylation reactions are slow reactions (Bisogni and




Fig. 4 – [(MMHg)_D] (ng L⁻¹), [Fe^{II}] (mg L⁻¹), and Eh (mV) vertical profiles and sulfide-accumulating zone (SAZ – gray color area) of the overlying (10 cm thick water puddle) and pore water of a flooded hydromorphic soil in the former gold mined area between MS and CO, at the beginning of the rainy season. Soil water interface (0 cm) is plotted as a horizontal line.

Lawrence, 1975; Langley, 1973). Therefore, since DOC is the most important complexing ligand in surface waters in the absence of sulphide the bioavailability of Hg for methylating bacteria may decrease in the middle of the rainy season (Benoit et al., 2003; Ullrich et al., 2001). The change in geochemical conditions such as the decrease of pH and conductivity and the increase in Eh from the beginning to the middle of the rainy season, also limits bacterial activity and Hg availability, which can lead to the decrease of methylation rates (Birkett and Lester, 2005; Ullrich et al., 2001).

4.5. Hg and MMHg export from the CC watershed to the stream

The comparison of (HgT) and (MMHg) specific fluxes between the outlet of the pristine oxisol sub-watershed (PS) and the entire watershed (CO) indicates that the contribution of the

contaminated flat is especially substantial for (MMHg). Additionally, calculated specific fluxes for both dissolved and particulate MMHg were increased by factors of 6 and 4 between PS and CO, respectively (*U* tests, *P* ≤ 0.001 – Table 4), while those for (HgT)_D and (HgT)_P were within the same range and doubled (*U* test, *P* = 0.043) between PS and CO, respectively. The estimation of annual specific fluxes from the CC watershed highlights its large contamination, all the more since the flat’s contribution is diluted by the fluxes originating from the pristine soils which cover the majority of the watershed and act as a sink for Hg and MMHg.

The comparison of our data with calculated fluxes in other Amazonian locations corroborates this point. For example, the annual (HgT)_P export at the outlet of the CC watershed (mean = 93 ± 37 μg m⁻² yr⁻¹) is much larger than the fluxes (2.6–8.5 μg m⁻² yr⁻¹) calculated for a small forested Amazonian area (1.6 km²), located far from gold-mining activities (Fostier et al., 2000), and for fluxes (30–35 μg m⁻² yr⁻¹) measured for the large Cururai floodplain system (Maia et al., 2009) where the large size of the basin (3800 km²) may dilute the fluxes originating from gold mined areas significantly. Our data also exceed the range of fluxes found for the Seine river (Coquery et al., 1997) and for urban-type watersheds (0.2–20 μg m⁻² yr⁻¹) according to the Wisconsin’s US system of rivers classification (Hurley et al., 1995). No MMHg fluxes are available for Amazonian watersheds. However, a comparison with boreal and temperate environments shows that the (MMHg)_P exported from the CC watershed (mean fluxes = 0.68 ± 0.12 μg m⁻² yr⁻¹) is larger compared to fluxes (0.02–0.183 μg m⁻² yr⁻¹) measured for a selection of sixteen US streams (Balogh et al., 2005; Brigham et al., 2009).

5. Conclusions

This study shows that, even 60 years after exploitation, former gold-mining activities largely contribute to the in stream load of MMHg, whereas their contribution for total mercury remains moderated. Hydromorphic soils, disturbed and strongly Hg contaminated (including Hg⁰ droplets) by former gold-mining, were identified as the main sources of MMHg. Methylation was suggested to be induced mainly by IRB during the dry season when the surface and pore waters are stagnant, whereas emissions of MMHg occurred during rainy season events when these waters are discharged into the stream.

Such former goldmines can still contribute to MMHg inputs in larger hydrological systems. Numerous former and current artisanal or semi-industrial goldmines exist in French Guiana and elsewhere in Amazonia, but these areas are rarely mapped or referenced. Thus, the evaluation of the real contribution of these former activities is sorely quantifiable and suggests that it is an important contributor of MMHg emissions in Amazonian hydrosystems. The continuous expansion of legal and illegal gold-mining in French Guiana implies an increase in dissolved and particulate MMHg emissions in the hydrographic network, with an enhancement of MMHg contamination of aquatic ecosystems and the consequent increase in the toxicological threat for local human populations whose diet relies mainly on fish.

Table 4 – Stream waters at the outlet of the pristine oxisol sub-watershed and the entire watershed. Total dissolved mercury (HgT)_D, particulate mercury (HgT)_P, dissolved monomethylmercury (MMHg)_D, and particulate monomethylmercury (MMHg)_P, median and mean specific fluxes, and SEM; presented as median/mean (SEM).

Specific fluxes	PS (surface 0.12 km ²)	CO (surface 1.27 km ²)
(HgT) _D (ng s ⁻¹ km ⁻²)	113/147 (32)	117/628 (276)
(HgT) _P (ng s ⁻¹ km ⁻²)	517/1458 (521)	1104/2951 (1158)
(MMHg) _D (ng s ⁻¹ km ⁻²)	0.67/0.97 (0.30)	4.20/4.17 (0.51)
(MMHg) _P (ng s ⁻¹ km ⁻²)	3.5/4.2 (0.96)	14.0/21.6 (3.80)

Acknowledgments

This research was supported mainly by the CNRS, FNS, FEDER, MATE/DIREN, and ANR as a part of the Mercury in French Guiana research program and by the Boulanger Mine Company (CMB). We acknowledge Jennifer Harris-Hellal, Noureddine Bousserhine, Genlis Gallifet and Dennis Lahondes for additional support on this project. We also, acknowledge Bernard Averty from the IFREMER Laboratory of Nantes for support in methylmercury analysis.

Appendix. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at [doi:10.1016/j.watres.2011.02.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2011.02.022).

REFERENCES

- Balogh, S.J., Nollet, Y.H., Offerman, H.J., 2005. A comparison of total mercury and methylmercury export from various Minnesota watersheds. *Sci. Total Environ.* 340 (1–3), 261–270.
- Barbosa, A.C., Souza, J.d., Dorea, J.G., Jardim, W.F., Fadini, P.S., 2003. Mercury biomagnification in a tropical black water, Rio Negro, Brazil. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 45 (2), 235–246.
- Barkay, T., Gillman, M., Turner, R., 1997. Effects of dissolved organic carbon and salinity on bioavailability of mercury. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 63 (11), 4267–4271.
- Barret, J., 2004. *Illustrated Atlas of French Guyana* (in French). French Guyana Publications, Cayenne.
- Benoit, J., Gilmour, C., Mason, R., Heyes, A., 1999. Sulfide controls on mercury speciation and bioavailability to methylating bacteria in sediment pore waters. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 33, 951–957.
- Benoit, J.M., Gilmour, C.C., Heyes, A., Mason, R.P., Miller, C.L., 2003. In: Cai, Y., Braids, O.C. (Eds.), *Biogeochemistry of Environmentally Important Trace Elements*. Oxford University Press, pp. 262–297.
- Birkett, J., Lester, J., 2005. Distribution of mercury and methylmercury in the sediments of a lowland river system. *Proc. R. Soc. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* 461 (2057), 1335–1355.
- Bisnoti, M.C., Sargentini Júnior, E., Jardim, W.F., 2007. Seasonal behavior of mercury species in waters and sediments from the Negro river basin, Amazon, Brazil. *J. Braz. Chem. Soc.* 18 (3), 544–553.
- Bisogni, J.J., Lawrence, A.W., 1975. Kinetics of mercury methylation in aerobic and anaerobic aquatic environments. *J. Water Pollut. Control Fed.* 47 (1), 135–152.
- Bloom, N.S., Fitzgerald, W.F., 1988. Determination of volatile mercury species at the picogram level by low-temperature gas chromatography with cold-vapor atomic fluorescence detection. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 208, 151–161.
- Brabo, E.D., Santos, E.D., de Jesus, I.M., Mascarenhas, A.F.S., Faial, K.D., 2000. Mercury contamination of fish and exposures of an indigenous community in Para State, Brazil. *Environ. Res.* 84 (3), 197–203.
- Brigham, M.E., Wentz, D.A., Aiken, G.R., Krabbenhoft, D.P., 2009. Mercury cycling in stream ecosystems. 1. Water column chemistry and transport. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 43 (8), 2720–2725.
- Christophersen, N., Neal, C., 1990. Linking hydrological, geochemical, and soil chemical processes on the catchment scale: an interplay between modelling and field work. *Water Resour. Res.* 26, 3077–3086.
- Coquery, M., Cossa, D., Azemard, S., Peretyazhko, T., Charlet, L., 2003. Methylmercury formation in the anoxic waters of the Petit-Saut reservoir (French Guiana) and its spreading in the adjacent Sinnamary river. *J. Phys. IV* 107, 327–331.
- Coquery, M., Cossa, D., Sanjuan, J., 1997. Speciation and sorption of mercury in two macro-tidal estuaries. *Mar. Chem.* 58 (1–2), 213–227.
- Cossa, D., Averty, B., Breteau, J., Senard, A.S., 2003. *Dissolved Mercury Speciation in Marine Waters. Analysis Methods in Marine Environment*. Ifremer and French Ecology, Durable Development Ministry (in French).
- Cossa, D., Averty, B., Pirrone, N., 2009. The origin of methylmercury in open Mediterranean waters. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 54 (3), 837–844.
- Cossa, D., Coquery, M., Gobeil, C., Martin, J., 1996. In: Baeyens, W., Ebinghaus, R., Vasiliev, O. (Eds.), *Regional and Global Cycles of Mercury: Sources, Fluxes, and Mass Balances*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, pp. 229–247.
- Cossa, D., Coquery, M., Nakhle, K., Claisse, D., 2002. *Total Mercury and Methylmercury Analysis in Marine Organisms and Sediments. Analysis Methods in Marine Environment*. Ifremer and French Ecology, Durable Development Ministry (in French).
- Cossa, D., Gobeil, C., 2000. Mercury speciation in the lower St. Lawrence estuary. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 57, 138–147.
- Dominique, Y., Muresan, B., Duran, R., Richard, S., Boudou, A., 2007. Simulation of the chemical fate and bioavailability of liquid elemental mercury drops from gold mining in Amazonian freshwater systems. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 41 (21), 7322–7329.
- Dorea, J.G., Barbosa, A.C., 2007. Anthropogenic impact of mercury accumulation in fish from the Rio Madeira and Rio Negro rivers (Amazonia). *Biol. Trace Elem. Res.* 115 (3), 243–254.
- Durrieu, G., Maury-Brachet, R., Boudou, A., 2005. Goldmining and mercury contamination of the piscivorous fish, *Hoplias aimara* in French Guiana (Amazon basin). *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 60 (3), 315–323.
- Fink, L., 2002. *Status Report on the Effect of Water Quantity and Quality on Methylmercury Production*, pp. 2B2.1–2B2.17, EPA.
- Fleming, E.J., Mack, E.E., Green, P.G., Douglas, C.N., 2006. Mercury methylation from unexpected sources: molybdate-inhibited freshwater sediments and iron-reducing bacterium. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 72 (1), 457–464.
- Fostier, A.-H., Forti, M.C., Guimaraes, J.R.D., Melfi, A.J., Boulet, R., Espirito Santo, C.M., Krug, F.J., 2000. Mercury fluxes in a natural forested Amazonian catchment (Serra do Navio, Amapa State, Brazil). *Sci. Total Environ.* 260, 201–211.
- Frery, N., Maury-Brachet, R., Maillot, E., Deheeger, M., Merona de, B., Boudou, A., 2001. Goldmining activities and mercury contamination of native Amerindian communities in French Guiana: key role of fish in dietary uptake. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 109 (5), 449–456.
- Grimaldi, C., Grimaldi, M., Guédron, S., 2008. Mercury distribution in tropical soil profiles related to origin of mercury and soil processes. *Sci. Total Environ.* 401, 121–129.
- Grimaldi, C., Grimaldi, M., Millet, A., Bariac, T., Boulègue, J., 2004. Behavior of chemical solutes during a storm in a rainforested headwater catchment. *Hydrol. Process.* 18, 93–106.
- Guedron, S., Grangeons, S., Lanson, B., Grimaldi, M., 2009. Mercury speciation in a tropical soil association; consequence of gold mining on Hg distribution in French Guiana. *Geoderma* 153, 331–346.
- Guedron, S., Grimaldi, C., Chauvel, C., Spadini, C., Grimaldi, M., 2006. Weathering versus atmospheric contributions to mercury concentrations in French Guiana soils. *Appl. Geochem.* 21, 2010–2022.

- Hall, B.D., Aiken, G.R., Krabbenhoft, D.P., Marvin-DiPasquale, M., Swarzenski, C.M., 2008. Wetlands as principal zones of methylmercury production in southern Louisiana and the Gulf of Mexico region. *Environ. Pollut.* 154 (1), 124–134.
- Harper, B.L., Harris, S.G., 2008. A possible approach for setting a mercury risk-based action level based on tribal fish ingestion rates. *Environ. Res.* 107 (1), 60–68.
- Hersch, R.W., 1995. *Streamflow Measurement*. Elsevier Applied Science.
- Hurley, J.P., Benoit, J.M., Babiarz, C.L., Shafer, M.M., Andren, A.W., Sullivan, J.R., Hammond, R., Webb, D.A., 1995. Influence of watershed characteristics on mercury levels in Wisconsin rivers. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 29 (7), 1867–1875.
- Jezequel, D., Brayner, R., Metzger, E., Viollier, E., Prevot, F., Fievet, F., 2007. Two-dimensional determination of dissolved iron and sulfur species in marine sediment pore-waters by thin-film based imaging. Thau lagoon (France). *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* 72 (3), 420–431.
- Lambertsson, L., Nilsson, M., 2006. Organic material: the primary control on mercury methylation and ambient methyl mercury concentrations in estuarine sediments. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 40 (6), 1822–1829.
- Langley, D.G., 1973. Mercury methylation in an aquatic environment. *J. Water Pollut. Control Fed.* 45 (1), 44–51.
- Lawson, N.M., Mason, R.P., 2001. Concentration of mercury, methylmercury, cadmium, lead, arsenic, and selenium in the rain and stream water of two contrasting watersheds in Western Maryland. *Water Res.* 35 (17), 4039–4052.
- Lechler, P.J., Miller, J.R., Lacerda, L.D., Vinson, D., Bonzongo, J.-C., Lyons, W.B., Warwick, J.J., 2000. Elevated mercury concentrations in soils, sediments, water, and fish of the Madeira river basin, Brazilian Amazon: a function of natural enrichments? *Sci. Total Environ.* 260, 87–96.
- Liang, L., Bloom, N.S., Horvat, M., 1994. Simultaneous determination of mercury speciation in biological materials by GC/CVAFS after ethylation and room-temperature precollection. *Clin. Chem.* 40 (4), 602–607.
- Maia, P.D., Maurice, L., Tessier, E., Amouroux, D., Cossa, D., Pérez, M., Moreira-Turcq, P., Rhéault, I., 2009. Mercury distribution and exchanges between the Amazon river and connected floodplain lakes. *Sci. Total Environ.* 407, 6073–6084.
- Malm, O., 1998. Gold mining as a source of mercury exposure in the Brazilian Amazon. *Environ. Res.* 77 (2), 73–78.
- Marchand, C., Lallier-Verges, E., Baltzer, F., Alberic, P., Cossa, D., Baillif, P., 2006. Heavy metals distribution in mangrove sediments along the mobile coastline of French Guiana. *Mar. Chem.* 98 (1), 1–17.
- Mason, R.P., Reinfelder, J.R., Morel, F.M.M., 1995. Bioaccumulation of mercury and methylmercury. *Water Air Soil Pollut.* 80, 915–921.
- Mehrotra, A.S., Horne, A.J., Sedlak, D.L., 2003. Reduction of Net mercury methylation by iron in *desulfobulbus propionicus* (1pr3) cultures: Implications for engineered wetlands. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 37 (13), 3018–3023.
- Mehrotra, A.S., Sedlak, D.L., 2005. Decrease in net mercury methylation rates following iron amendment to anoxic wetland sediment slurries. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 39 (8), 2564–2570.
- Milési, J.P., Egal, E., Ledru, P., Vernhet, Y., Thiéblemont, D., Cocherie, A., Tegye, M., Martel-Jantin, B., Lagny, P., 1995. Mineralizations of the northern French Guiana in their geological setting. *Mining Res. Chron.* 518, 5–58 (in French).
- Muresan, B., 2006. Mercury geochemistry in the continuum of Petit Saut reservoir and the Sinnamary estuary, French Guiana (in French). P.H.D, University of Bordeaux I, PhD thesis.
- Muresan, B., Cossa, D., Jezequel, D., Prevot, F., Kerbellec, S., 2007a. The biogeochemistry of mercury at the sediment-water interface in the Thau lagoon. 1. Partition and speciation. *Estuarine Coastal Shelf Sci.* 72 (3), 472–484.
- Muresan, B., Cossa, D., Richard, S., Burbano, D., 2007b. Mercury speciation exchanges at the air-water interface of a tropical artificial reservoir, French guiana. *Sci. Total Environ.* 385 (1–3), 132–145.
- Parker, J.L., Bloom, N.S., 2005. Preservation and storage techniques for low-level aqueous mercury speciation. *Sci. Total Environ.* 337 (1–3), 253–263.
- Peters, N., Ratcliffe, E., 1998. Tracing hydrologic pathways using chloride at the Panola mountain research watershed, Georgia, USA. *Water Air Soil Pollut.* 105, 263–275.
- Pfeiffer, W.C., Lacerda, L.D., Salomon, W., Malm, O., 1993. Environmental fate of mercury from gold mining in the Brazilian Amazon. *Environ. Rev.* 1, 26–37.
- Porvari, P., 1995. Mercury levels of fish in Tucuruí hydroelectric reservoir and in River Moju in Amazonia, in the state of Para, Brazil. *Sci. Total Environ.* 175 (2), 109–117.
- Roos-Barracough, F., Givélet, N., Martinez-Cortizas, A., Goodsite, M.E., Biester, H., Shoty, W., 2002. An analytical protocol for determination of total mercury concentration in solid peat samples. *Sci. Total Environ.* 292, 129–139.
- Roulet, M., Lucotte, M., Canuel, R., Farella, N., Freitas Goch, Y.G.D., Pacheco Peleja, J.R., Guimaraes, J.-R.D., Mergler, D., Amorim, M., 2001. Spatio-Temporal geochemistry of mercury in waters of the Tapajos and Amazon rivers, Brazil. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 46 (5), 1141–1157.
- Roulet, M., Lucotte, M., Canuel, R., Rheault, I., Tran, S., De Freitas Gog, Y.G., Farella, N., Souza do Vale, R., Sousa Passos, C.J., De Jesus de Silva, E., Mergler, D., Amorim, M., 1998a. Distribution and partition of total mercury in waters of the Tapajos river basin, Brazilian Amazon. *Sci. Total Environ.* 213, 203–211.
- Roulet, M., Lucotte, M., Dolbec, J., Gogh, Y.F., Pelaja, J.R.P., 1999a. 5th International Conference Mercury as a Global Pollutant, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.
- Roulet, M., Lucotte, M., Farella, N., Serique, G., Coelho, H., Sousa Passos, C.J., De Jesus Da Silva, E., Scavone De Andrade, P., Mergler, D., Guimaraes, J.R.D., Amorim, M., 1999b. Effects of recent human colonization on the presence of mercury in Amazonian ecosystems. *Water Air Soil Pollut.* 112, 297–313.
- Roulet, M., Lucotte, M., Saint-Aubin, A., Tran, S., Rheault, I., Farella, N., Da Silva, E.D., Dezencourt, J., Passos, C.J.S., Soares, G. S., Guimaraes, J.R.D., Mergler, D., Amorim, M., 1998b. The geochemistry of mercury in central Amazonian soils developed on the Alter-do-Chao formation of the lower Tapajos river valley, Para state, Brazil. *Sci. Total Environ.* 223 (1), 1–24.
- Soulsby, C., Tetzlaff, D., van den Bedem, N., Malcolm, I.A., Bacon, P.J., Youngson, A.F., 2007. Inferring groundwater influences on surface water in montane catchments from hydrochemical surveys of springs and streamwaters. *J. Hydrol.* 333, 199–213.
- Tseng, C.M., de Diego, A., Pinaly, H., Amouroux, D., Donard, O.F.X., 1998. Cryofocusing coupled to atomic absorption spectrometry for rapid and simple mercury speciation in environmental matrices. *J. Anal. Atom Spectrom.* 13, 755–764.
- Ullrich, S.M., Tanton, T.W., Abdrashitova, S.A., 2001. Mercury in the aquatic environment: a review of factors affecting methylation. *Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol.* 31 (3), 241–293.
- Wasserman, J.C., Hacon, S., Wasserman, M.A., 2003. Biogeochemistry of mercury in the Amazonian environment. *Ambio* 32, 336–342.
- Webster, R., 2001. Statistics to support soil research and their presentation. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 52 (2), 331–340.